

73708

CAUSE NO. 800112

179th DISTRICT COURT

COUNTY CRIMINAL
COURT AT LAW
NO.

CHARLES MAMOU, JR.

VS

THE STATE OF TEXAS

CRIMINAL COURT OF APPEALS

VOLUME I

FILED IN
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS
FEB 14 2000
Troy C. Bennett, Jr., Clerk
Rule 9.2

CLERK'S RECORD

VOLUME 1 of 1

Trial Court Cause No. 800112

In the County Criminal Court at Law # _____ of Harris County, Texas

In the 179th District Court of Harris County, Texas

Honorable J. MICHAEL WILKINSON, Judge Presiding

CHARLES MAMOU, JR., **APPELLANT**

VS

THE STATE OF TEXAS

Appealed to the Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas, at Austin, Texas

Attorney for Appellant(s)

FLOYD FREED

ATTORNEY OF RECORD

2301 FM 1960 W


HOUSTON, TEXAS 77068

Telephone No: (281)444-0871

SBOT No: 07413500

Delivered to the Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas, at Austin, Texas on the 9th day
of February, 2000.

CHARLES BACARISSE, District Clerk
Harris County, Texas

By: 
Jennifer Carrion, Deputy

(Court of Appeals) Cause No. _____
Filed in the (Supreme Court of Texas at Austin, Texas,
Or Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas at Austin, Texas,
Or Court of Appeals for the _____ District of Texas, at _____, Texas)
This _____ day of _____, _____
_____, Clerk
By _____, Deputy

INDEX

	DATE FILED	PAGE
CAPTION		1
COMPLAINT	12/10/98	2
INDICTMENT	03/03/99	4
REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COINSEL AND ORDER OF THE COURT	05/28/99	5
ORDER	06/09/99	6
NOTICE OF THE STATE'S INTENT TO SEEK DEATH AS A PENALTY	06/25/99	7
AGREED SETTING	06/25/99	8
AGREED SETTING	07/21/99	9
REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND ORDER OF THE COURT	07/27/99	10
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PROVIDE FUNDS FOR EXPERT ASSISTANCE JURY STUDY	09/01/99	11
MOTION FOR HEARING ON ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE	09/01/99	15
MOTION FOR DISCOVERY OF VICTIM IMPACT TESTIMONY	09/01/99	20
MOTION TO REQUIRE THE STATE TO REVEAL AGREEMENTS	09/01/99	23
MOTION TO DISCOVER THE PORTIONS OF THE DEFENDANT'S STATEMENT WHICH THE STATE INTENDS TO USE AT TIME OF TRIAL	09/01/99	27
DEFENDANT'S REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE OF DEFENDANT'S ARREST / CONVICTION RECORDS AND EXTRANEOUS AND/ OR UNADJUDICATED ACTS OF MISCONDUCT TO THE OFFERED AT GUILT OR PUNISHMENT	09/01/99	30
MOTION FOR JURY LIST	09/01/99	32
MOTION FOR THE COURT TO DIRECT COURT REPORTER TO TAKE VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF THE JURY AND BENCH CONFERENCES AND ALL FINAL ARGUMENTS	09/01/99	34
DEMAND FOR INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE	09/01/99	37
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRESENT WRITTEN QUESTIONNAIRE TO JURY PANEL	09/01/99	38
MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION	09/01/99	41
MOTION FOR PRODUCTION AND DISCLOSURE OF INFORMANT	09/01/99	49

INDEX

	DATE FILED	PAGE
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISCLOSE THE EXISTENCE OF ANY TESTING, QUESTIONING, SURVEILLANCE OR OBSERVATIONS OF DEFENDANT BY STATE AGENTS OR REPRESENTATIVES	09/01/99	52
MOTION TO VOIR DIRE ON PAROLE LAW – 40 YEAR MINIMUM	09/01/99	55
DISCLOSURE OF DEFENDANT'S ARREST/ CONVICTION RECORDS AND EXTRANEOUS AND/OR UNADJUDICATED ACTS OF MISCONDUCT	09/01/99	58
DEFENSE MOTION AT TIME OF ENTERING PLEA OF NOT GUILTY	09/09/99	60
FIRST AMENDED	09/10/99	61
LETTER TO THE JUDGE	09/28/99	63
CAPITAL MURDER JURY POOL/ STRIKE LIST	09/29/99	66
MOTION TO TRANSFER MOTION	10/04/99	71
MOTION IN LIMINE	10/04/99	74
ORDER	10/07/99	76
REQUEST OF THE JURY	10/12/99	77
CHARGE OF THE COURT ON GUILT OR INNOCENCE / VERDICT	10/12/99	78
REQUEST OF THE JURY	10/15/99	93
REQUEST OF THE JURY	10/15/99	94
CHARGE OF THE COURT ON THE ASSESSMENT OF PUNISHMENT/ VERDICT	10/15/99	95
OATH OF INDIGENCE UNDER ARTICLE 11.071 (2)(b) CCP AND FINDINGS OF FACT	10/15/99	104
JUDGEMENT/ SENTENCE	10/15/99	105
PAUPER'S OATH ON APPEAL AND ORDER OF THE COURT	10/18/99	108
MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL	10/18/99	109
MOTION TO WITHDRAW AND ORDER OF THE COURT	10/28/99	112
MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY OF RECORD AND ORDER OF THE COURT	11/08/99	114
DOCKET SHEET		117
LETTER OF ASSIGNMENT TO THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS	12/15/99	155

CAPTION

THE STATE OF TEXAS {
COUNTY OF HARRIS {

In the 179th District Court of Harris County, Texas, the Honorable J. MICHAEL WILKINSON, Judge
Presiding, the following proceedings were held and the following instruments and other papers were filed
in this cause, to wit:

TRIAL COURT CAUSE NO. 800112

CHARLES MAMOU, JR. { IN THE 179th JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
VS.
THE STATE OF TEXAS { HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

000001

COMPLAINT

FILED ON: DECEMBER 10, 1998

THE STATE OF TEXAS
VS.CHARLES MAMOU, JR.
584 MARTIN LUTHER KING
SUNSET, LA 70584SPN: 01696283/999
DOB: NM 11-6-74
DATE PREPARED: 12/10/98D.A. LOG NUMBER: 478727
CJIS TRACKING NO.:
BY: jl DA NO: 386
AGENCY: HPD
O/R NO: 156416498
ARREST DATE: TOBE

NCIC CODE: 0907 10

RELATED SAME DEFEN - FELONY
CASES:

FELONY CHARGE: CAPITAL MURDER

CAUSE NO: 0800112

HARRIS COUNTY DISTRICT COURT NO: 179th

FIRST SETTING DATE:

BAIL: \$NO BOND
PRIOR CAUSE NO:

IN THE NAME AND BY AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

Before me, the undersigned Assistant District Attorney of Harris County, Texas, this day appeared the undersigned affiant, who under oath says that he has good reason to believe and does believe that in Harris County, Texas, **CHARLES MAMOU, JR.** hereafter styled the Defendant, heretofore on or about **DECEMBER 7, 1998**, did then and there unlawfully while in the course of committing and attempting to commit the KIDNAPPING of **MARY CARMOUCHE**, intentionally cause the death of **MARY CARMOUCHE** by shooting **MARY CARMOUCHE** with a deadly weapon, namely, a firearm:

It is further presented that in Harris County, Texas, **CHARLES MAMOU, JR.**, hereafter styled the Defendant, heretofore on or about **DECEMBER 7, 1998**, did then and there unlawfully while in the course of committing and attempting to commit the AGGRAVATED SEXUAL ASSAULT of **MARY CARMOUCHE**, intentionally cause the death of **MARY CARMOUCHE** by shooting **MARY CARMOUCHE** with a deadly weapon, namely, a firearm.

PROBABLE CAUSE

AFFIANT IS JERRY NOVAK A PEACE OFFICER EMPLOYED BY THE CITY OF HOUSTON POLICE DEPARTMENT. AFFIANT BELIEVES AND HAS REASON TO BELIEVE THAT THE DEFENDANT, **CHARLES HAROLD MAMOU, JR.**, COMMITTED THE OFFENSE OF CAPITAL MURDER AS ALLEGED ABOVE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

ON DECEMBER 10, 1998, YOUR AFFIANT SPOKE TO KEVIN WALTER AT HERMANN HOSPITAL WHERE YOUR AFFIANT OBSERVED KEVIN WALTER RECOVERING FROM MULTIPLE GUNSHOT WOUNDS. AT THE HOSPITAL, WALTER POSITIVELY IDENTIFIED A PHOTO OF THE DEFENDANT **CHARLES HAROLD MAMOU, JR.** IN A PHOTOSPREAD. THE PHOTOSPREAD CONTAINED A PICTURE OF THE DEFENDANT AND FIVE SIMILAR LOOKING BLACK MALES. WALTER TOLD YOUR AFFIANT THAT THE DEFENDANT SHOT HIM AND TERRANCE GIBSON WITH A FIREARM AT THE 9200 BLOCK OF LANTERN POINT, IN HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS ON DECEMBER 6, 1998.

YOUR AFFIANT SPOKE TO DION HOLLEY WHO ALSO POSITIVELY IDENTIFIED THE DEFENDANT AS A PERSON WHO SHOT HIM, KEVIN WALTER, AND TERRANCE GIBSON DURING THE AFOREMENTIONED OFFENSE AND, IN THE SAME TRANSACTION, STOLE HIS MOTHER'S CAR. HOLLEY STATED THAT WHEN THE DEFENDANT STOLE THE CAR, HIS GIRLFRIEND, A BLACK FEMALE NAMED **MARY CARMOUCHE** WAS ALIVE AND IN THE BACK SEAT.

000002

YOUR AFFIANT ALSO SPOKE TO TERRANCE O'NEIL DODSON WHO STATED THAT HE KNOWS THE DEFENDANT BY NAME AND SIGHT AS HIS COUSIN AND THAT AFTER THE OFFENSE THE DEFENDANT ADMITTED TO HIM THAT HE SHOT THE COMPLAINANTS AND DROVE OFF IN THEIR CAR, WITH A BLACK FEMALE INSIDE. THE DEFENDANT ALSO ADMITTED THAT HE DROVE THE BLACK FEMALE TO AN ISOLATED AREA WHERE HE SEXUALLY ASSAULTED HER. DODSON TOLD YOUR AFFIANT THAT THE DEFENDANT STATED HE WAS FEARFUL THAT SHE COULD IDENTIFY HIM SO HE SHOT HER AND KILLED HER AT A HOUSE THAT WAS FOR SALE IN FAR SOUTHWEST HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

YOUR AFFIANT SPOKE TO ASSISTANT MEDICAL EXAMINER DR MILTON WHO IS EMPLOYED BY THE HARRIS COUNTY MEDICAL EXAMINER'S OFFICE WHO TOLD YOUR AFFIANT THAT HE EXAMINED THE BODIES OF MARY CARMOUCHE AND TERRANCE GIBSON AND THAT THEY BOTH DIED AS A RESULT OF GUNSHOT WOUNDS TO THE BODY. YOUR AFFIANT SPOKE TO SGT. LD FOLTZ WHO STATED THAT HE OBSERVED THE BODY OF A FEMALE, LATER IDENTIFIED AS MARY CARMOUCHE, DEAD FROM A SINGLE GUNSHOT WOUND TO THE CHEST AT A LOCATION IN FAR SOUTHWEST HARRIS COUNTY, NEAR A HOUSE FOR SALE.

AGAINST THE PEACE AND DIGNITY OF THE STATE.

Sworn to and subscribed before me on December 10, 1998

FILED
DISTRICT CLERK
HARRIS COUNTY
90 DEC 10 PM 6:30
AFFIANT [Signature]
BY [Signature]
DEPUTY

[Signature]
ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY
OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

COMPLAINT

000003

INDICTMENT
FILED ON: MARCH 3, 1999

THE STATE OF TEXAS
VS.

D.A. LOG NUMBER: 478727
CJIS TRACKING NO.:

CHARLES MAMOU, JR.
584 MARTIN LUTHER KING
SUNSET, LA 70584

SPN: 01696283/999
DOB: NM 11-06-74
DATE PREPARED: 2-24-99

BY: CM DA NO: 386
AGENCY:HPD
O/R NO: 156416498
ARREST DATE: TO BE

NCIC CODE: 0907 10
FELONY CHARGE:
CAPITAL MURDER

209th
GJ

RELATED CASES: 1 OTHER FELONY

Vol. 33 Page 244 AXGM

CAUSE NO: 800112

BAIL: \$NO BOND
PRIOR CAUSE NO:

HARRIS COUNTY DISTRICT COURT NO: 179TH
FIRST SETTING DATE:

IN THE NAME AND BY AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

The duly organized Grand Jury of Harris County, Texas, presents in the District Court of Harris County, Texas, that in Harris County, Texas, **CHARLES MAMOU, JR.**, hereafter styled the Defendant, on or about **DECEMBER 7, 1998**, did then and there unlawfully, while in the course of committing and attempting to commit the Kidnapping of **MARY CARMOUCHE**, intentionally cause the death of **MARY CARMOUCHE** by shooting **MARY CARMOUCHE** with a deadly weapon, namely, a firearm.

It is further presented that in Harris County, Texas, **CHARLES MAMOU, JR.**, hereafter styled the Defendant, heretofore on or about **DECEMBER 7, 1998**, did then and there unlawfully during the same criminal transaction, intentionally and knowingly cause the death of **TERRANCE GIBSON** by shooting **TERRANCE GIBSON** with a deadly weapon, namely, a firearm, and intentionally and knowingly cause the death of **MARY CARMOUCHE** by shooting **MARY CARMOUCHE** with a deadly weapon, namely, a firearm.

AGAINST THE PEACE AND DIGNITY OF THE STATE.

209th ASST. FOREMAN

[Signature]

FOREMAN OF THE GRAND JURY

INDICTMENT

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE
DISTRICT CLERK
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

99 MAR -3 PM 12:46

BY *[Signature]* DEPUTY

000004

REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND ORDER OF THE COURT
FIELD ON: MAY 28, 1999

Court Coordinator No. 4

CAUSE NO. 800112 CHARGE Capital murder
800113 Capital murder
179 DISTRICT COURT

THE STATE OF TEXAS

VS.

OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

Charles Manson

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Now comes Charles Manson, defendant in the above styled and numbered cause, and respectfully petitions the Court to appoint counsel to represent him in said felony cause and would show to the Court that he is too poor to employ counsel.

Sworn and subscribed before me on this, the 28 day of May, A.D. 19 99.

in Louisiana - per
Defendant Butte

FILED
MAY 28 1999
9:26 AM
The
Deputy

By [Signature] Deputy District Clerk
Harris County, Texas

ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL

On this, the 28 day of May, A.D. 19 99, it appearing to the Court that the above named defendant has executed an affidavit stating that he is without counsel and is too poor to employ counsel, it is ordered that the attorney listed below is appointed to represent the above named defendant in said cause.

WAYNE HILL
Attorney
4615 S.W. FWY. 600
Address
HOUSTON TX 77027
City State Zip
713-623-8312
Phone
09656300
BAR #

It is further ordered that the said cause is set for: Aug
on the 24 day of June, 19 99, at 9:00 A.M.
Signed this 28 day of May, A.D. 19 99.

Judge Presiding

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM.
This instrument is of poor quality and not satisfactory for photographic recodation; and/or alterations were present at the time of filming.

V2755 P0803

000005

800112
800113



STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE 179TH DISTRICT COURT

VS.

CHARLES MAMOU


HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

ORDER

This court previously appointed Wayne T. Hill, Attorney at Law, to represent the above-referenced Defendant charged with two cases of capital murder. The court finds that extraordinary circumstances exist in these cases that warrant the expenditure of pre-trial funding of investigative expenses and out-of-court hours for legal fees which will be in addition to future voucher payments. This court hereby orders that Wayne T. Hill be paid ~~the sum of \$32,000.00~~ out of the General Fund of Harris County, Texas, for initial investigative and legal fees in these matters.



SIGNED AND ORDERED this 9th day of JUNE, 1999.



HON. J. MICHAEL WILKINSON
JUDGE PRESIDING
179TH DISTRICT COURT
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

APPROVED ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM.
This instrument is of poor quality
and not satisfactory for photographic
recording; and/or alterations were
present at the time of filming.

V2764 P0402

000006



Cause No. ~~800112/800113~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
Vs. HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
CHARLES MAMOU, JR. 179TH DISTRICT COURT

NOTICE OF THE STATE'S INTENT TO SEEK DEATH
AS A PENALTY

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW THE STATE OF TEXAS, by and through the undersigned Assistant District Attorney, and pursuant to Articles 37.071 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure, and in accordance with Section 19.03 of the Texas Penal Code, in open court hereby notices this Honorable Court and the Defendant that the State of Texas will seek **DEATH** as a penalty in the above styled and numbered causes.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lynn McClellan
Lynn McClellan
Assistant District Attorney
Harris County, Texas

F I L E D
CHARLES BACARISSE
District Clerk

JUN 25 1999

Time: *11 AM*
Harris County, Texas

By *[Signature]* Deputy

000007

Court Coordinator No. 2-A

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113 CHARGE Capital

THE STATE OF TEXAS

179 DISTRICT COURT

VS.

OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

Charles Mamou
Defendant

AGREED SETTING

The undersigned Counsel hereby agrees this case is reset for

NRL in 7-21-99
(Type of Setting) (Date)

Attorney for the State

Defendant

Wayne Hill
(Print) Attorney for Defendant
WH
(Signature) Attorney for Defendant

(Street Address)

(City)

(State)

(Zip)

623-8312
(Phone Number)

09656300
(Bar Number)

APPROVED BY THE COURT:

[Signature]
Judge Presiding
6-25-99
Date

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE
District Clerk
JUN 25 1999
Time: 1:00 PM
By [Signature]
Harris County, Texas
Deputy

DISTRICT CLERK

000008

Court Coordinator No. 2-A

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113 CHARGE Capital murder
Capital murder
179 DISTRICT COURT
THE STATE OF TEXAS
VS. Charles Mamou
Defendant
OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

AGREED SETTING

The undersigned Counsel hereby agrees this case is reset for

note to 8-11-99
(Type of Setting) (Date)

CTC
Attorney for the State

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE
District Clerk

JUL 21 1999
Time: 12:15
Harris County, Texas
By [Signature] Deputy

In Custody
Defendant
WAYNE Hill
(Print) Attorney for Defendant
W Hill
(Signature) Attorney for Defendant
4615 SW Fwy
(Street Address)
H TX 77027
(City) (State) (Zip)
623-8312
(Phone Number)
09656300
(Bar Number)

APPROVED BY THE COURT:

[Signature]
Judge Presiding
7-21-99
Date

DISTRICT CLERK

000009

REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND ORDER OF THE COURT
FIELD ON: JULY 27, 1999

Court Coordinator No. 4

CAUSE NO. 800112 CHARGE Capital murder
800113 Capital murder
179 DISTRICT COURT

THE STATE OF TEXAS

OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

VS.

Charles Mamou

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Now comes Charles Mamou, defendant in the above styled and numbered cause, and respectfully petitions the Court to appoint counsel to represent him in said felony cause and would show to the Court that he is too poor to employ counsel.Sworn to and subscribed before me on this the 26 day of July, A.D. 1999.FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE
District Clerk

JUL 27 1999

Timer
Harris County, Texas
By [Signature] DeputyBy [Signature] Deputy District Clerk
Harris County, Texas

ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL

On this, the 26 day of July, A.D. 1999, it appearing to the Court that the above named defendant has executed an affidavit stating that he is without counsel and is too poor to employ counsel, it is ordered that the attorney listed below is appointed to represent the above named defendant in said cause.

Attorney

Kurt Wintz
5629 7th 1960

Address

Houston, TX 77069

City

281-587-0088

Phone

21178300

BAR #

It is further ordered that the said cause is set for: mothon the 11 day of August, 1999, at 9:00 A.M.Signed this 26 day of July, A.D. 1999.Sam Robertson
Judge PresidingRECORDER'S MEMORANDUM.
This instrument is of poor quality
and not satisfactory for photographic
recording; and/or alterations were
present at the time of filing.

V2803 P0494

2nd chair

DISTRICT CLERK

000010

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

14-992
993

THE STATE OF TEXAS § IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
VS. § HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
CHARLES MAMOU § 179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PROVIDE
FUNDS FOR EXPERT ASSISTANCE - JURY STUDY**

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, CHARLES MAMOU, Defendant, by and through his attorneys of record, and makes this his Motion to Provide Funds for Expert Assistance - Jury Study, and as grounds therefore would show the Court as follows:

I.

In Free v. Peters, 806 F. Supp. 705 (1992), a copy of which is attached to this Motion for reference, Judge Aspen has found, based on a study performed by Professor Hans Zeisel, that the questions that jurors answer in capital murder cases are not properly understood by those jurors. This lack of understanding results in a denial of a defendant's Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment rights as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. A capital murder defendant's rights in Texas are violated under Article I §§ 10, 13, and 19.

II.

Defendant requests that this Court provide him with funds to conduct a similar study of the questions in a capital murder trial in Texas. This study would be conducted by a competent scholar who could then share his findings with the Court. If the Texas study reaches the same conclusion as the Zeisel study, the Defendant could demonstrate that the prosecution violates his rights under the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. The Defendant is

000011


indigent. Without funds provided by Harris County, the Defendant will be unable to gather information necessary to properly raise the issue. These funds are essential to the defense of the Defendant. This Court should order these funds be made available under the holding of Ake v. Oklahoma, 470 U.S. 68, 105 S.Ct. 1087, 84 L.Ed.2d 53 (1985). In Ake, the United States Supreme Court held that where the burden of proof is on an indigent defendant to prove insanity, the State must appropriate money to allow him to hire experts to meet that burden. Here the Defendant intends to show that jurors do not understand the questions they are asked to answer. The Defendant cannot possibly gather this information without clerical and expert help. Under Ake, he is entitled to funds for that help.

Defendant seeks this funding, in addition to the reasons already given, under the authority of Art. 26.05 V.A.C.C.P. Further, these funds are necessary so that counsel may render effective assistance as guaranteed by the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I §§ 10, 13 and 19 of the Texas Constitution.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant prays that this Court grant this Motion and allow him the funds necessary to present evidence on this issue.

Respectfully submitted,

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE
SEP - 1 1999
Time: 11:45
Harris County, Texas
By: [Signature]


Wayne T. Hill
TBA # 09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77027-7106
(713) 623-8312
(713) 626-0182 fax

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

000012

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the State by hand-delivery of a copy of the same this the 1 day of

Sept, 1999.



Wayne T. Hill

000013

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

THE STATE OF TEXAS

VS.

CHARLES MAMOU

§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PROVIDE
FUNDS FOR EXPERT ASSISTANCE - JURY STUDY

On this ____ day of _____, 199____, came on to be heard the
Defendant's Motion to Provide Funds for Expert Assistance - Jury Study, and after due consideration,
the Court is of the opinion, and it is hereby ORDERED, that said Motion is:

GRANTED

DENIED, to which ruling Defendant timely excepts.

SIGNED this the ____ day of _____, 199____.

JUDGE PRESIDING

000014

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE

SEP - 1 1999

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

THE STATE OF TEXAS § IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
§
VS. § HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
§
CHARLES MAMOU § 179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

MOTION FOR HEARING ON ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, CHARLES MAMOU, Defendant in the above-styled cause, by and through Defendant's attorney, and respectfully requests the Court to hold a hearing in advance of the trial on the admissibility of any evidence/testimony discovered from the Defendant. In support of this Motion, Defendant respectfully shows the Court as follows:

I.

Defendant makes this request based upon the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States, Article I, Sections 9, 10, 13 and 19 of the Texas Constitution and Article 15.01, 15.17, 38.21, 38.22 and 38.23, V.A.C.C.P., as well as the requirements set out in Jackson v. Denno, 378 U.S. 368, as well as the doctrine of the fruit of the poison tree of Wong Sun v. U.S., 371 U.S. 471 (1963).

II.

Defendant further alleges that at the time of various conversations with certain police officers, the Defendant was either under arrest or substantially deprived of Defendant's freedom by the attendant conduct of the officers and the surrounding circumstances.

III.

Defendant would show that said statements and/or acts were the fruit of illegal arrest and/or search and seizure, and are therefore inadmissible.

000015

IV.

Defendant would show that any statement(s) allegedly made by Defendant were not done with a knowing, intelligent or voluntary waiver of his constitutional rights under the Texas, Louisiana or United States Constitution.

VI.


THIS MOTION IS SOUGHT BY THE DEFENDANT AS A CONTINUING MOTION TO SUPPRESS ANY AND ALL STATEMENTS OR ACTS. IT IS SOUGHT TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE AS THE SAME EXISTS AT THE TIME OF THE HEARING ON THIS MOTION TO SUPPRESS, OR AT ANY TIME DURING TRIAL WHEN EVIDENCE APPEARS, SUBSEQUENT TO THE INITIAL SUPPRESSION HEARING, TO BE THE SUBJECT OF THIS MOTION. DEFENDANT MOVES THIS HONORABLE COURT TO CONSIDER THIS MOTION AS CONTINUING FROM THE DATE OF FILING TO THE TIME THIS CASE IS FINALLY CONCLUDED.

VII.

Defendant further requests the Court to instruct the District Attorney and his assistants to ask no questions in the presence of the jury, should this case be tried to a jury, concerning: (1) statements made by the Defendant; (2) acts tantamount to statements made by the Defendant; (3) statements made in the presence of Defendant which he did not deny in response, whether oral or written, or whether incriminating or exculpatory; and (4) physical evidence or documents obtained pursuant to any consent to search or otherwise until a hearing has been given the Defendant with findings of fact and conclusions of law made by this Court.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant respectfully requests that this Motion be in all things granted, and that a hearing be conducted on the admissibility of any statements or other evidence by Defendant, at the conclusion of which, such statements or evidence by Defendant be ruled inadmissible.

Respectfully submitted,




Wayne T. Hill
TBA # 09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77027-7106
(713) 623-8312
(713) 626-0182 fax

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

000017

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the State by hand-delivery of a copy of same this the 7 day of Sept, 1997.



Wayne T. Hill

000018

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

THE STATE OF TEXAS

VS.

CHARLES MAMOU

§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S
MOTION FOR HEARING ON ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE

On this ____ day of _____, 199__, came on to be heard the
Defendant's Motion for Hearing on Admissibility of Evidence, and after due consideration, the Court
is of the opinion, and it is hereby ORDERED, that said Motion is:

_____ GRANTED, and a pre-trial hearing on the admissibility of any
statements or evidence by Defendant is further ORDERED;

_____ DENIED, to which ruling Defendant timely excepts.

SIGNED this the ____ day of _____, 199__.

JUDGE PRESIDING

000019

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE
Clerk

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

SEP - 1 1999

THE STATE OF TEXAS

VS.

CHARLES MAMOU

§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY OF
VICTIM IMPACT TESTIMONY

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, the Defendant, CHARLES MAMOU, by and through his attorneys of record, and makes this his Motion for Discovery of Victim Impact Testimony, and as grounds therefore would respectfully show as follows:

I.

If the Court intends to allow victim impact testimony, the Defendant has a right to discover the nature and scope of this testimony so that the Defendant may receive effective assistance of counsel as guaranteed by the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution and Article I §10 of the Texas Constitution. Due process as protected by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments, and Article I §19 of the Texas Constitution as well as Article 1.04 V.A.C.C.P. which dictates that this information be disclosed to the Defendant. The right to be informed of the nature of the accusation against the Defendant and the right to confront and effectively cross examine the witnesses against the Defendant, are also as guaranteed by the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution, Article I §10 of the Texas Constitution; and Article 10.5, V.A.C.C.P.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant prays this Court grant this Motion and order the disclosure of the Victim Impact Testimony as well as the names and addresses of all such witnesses.

000020

Respectfully submitted,



Wayne T. Hill
TBA # 09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77027-7106
(713) 623-8312
(713) 626-0182 fax

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the State by hand-delivery of a copy of the same this the 1 day of

Sept., 1999.



Wayne T. Hill

000021

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

THE STATE OF TEXAS

VS.

CHARLES MAMOU

§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR
DISCOVERY OF VICTIM IMPACT TESTIMONY

On this ____ day of _____, 199 ____, came on to be heard the
Defendant's Motion for Discovery of Victim Impact Testimony, and after due consideration, the Court
is of the opinion, and it is hereby ORDERED, that said Motion is:

_____ GRANTED, the State to provide this information to Defendant
by _____, 199 ____.

_____ DENIED, to which ruling Defendant timely excepts.

SIGNED this the ____ day of _____, 199 ____.

JUDGE PRESIDING

000022

W I L E Y
CHARLES BACARISSE
Principal Clerk

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

SEP - 1 1999

Time: 1:45
Harris County Court

THE STATE OF TEXAS § IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
VS. § HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
CHARLES MAMOU § 179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

MOTION TO REQUIRE THE STATE TO REVEAL AGREEMENTS

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, CHARLES MAMOU, Defendant in the above cause, by and through his attorney, and respectfully moves the Court to order the prosecuting authorities in this case to reveal to defense counsel in writing any agreement, expressed or implied, entered into between the prosecuting authorities or any other law enforcement agency and any witness, prospective witness, or any attorney representing such person regarding such witness's testimony in this case, and as grounds for this Motion would respectfully show the Court the following:

I.

The credibility of any such witnesses will be an important issue in the principal cause and the evidence of any understanding or agreement as to future prosecution of benefit, either promised or contemplated to be promised, whether implied or expressed, will be relevant to said witness's credibility.

II.

The Defendant would further respectfully move the Court to order the State, by and through its prosecuting authorities, to reveal any promises, whether implied or expressed, or aid, assistance, reward, compensation or benefit conferred or to be conferred upon any witness would or might receive if he or she testified on behalf of the State, or any implied or expressed promise of aid

or assistance or of possible benefit made by the State, or any implied or expressed promise of aid or assistance or of possible benefit made by the State, by or through any attorney or law enforcement agency, to any attorney or member of the family or to any other person with whom said witness may have been associated or through whom said witness may have learned of said promise.

III.


In the event any such agreement has been entered into between the State and any witness or potential witness as set forth above, the Defendant hereby requests the name, address and telephone number of each such witness, and the exact and complete nature of the agreement, including aid, assistance, reward or compensation or benefit conferred or contemplated to be conferred upon said witness.

IV.

The Defendant also requests the disclosure of all documents, memos, reports or correspondence in possession of the State reflecting any "charging decision" made by the State regarding any juveniles that might be called upon to testify against the Defendant at his trial and who were not charged with the same offense as Defendant.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Defendant respectfully prays for this Court to grant this Motion in all things and issue an order consistent with the request herein made and for such other and further relief to which the Defendant may be entitled, in law or in equity.

Respectfully submitted,



Wayne T. Hill
TBA # 09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77027-7106
(713) 623-8312
(713) 626-0182 fax

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the State by hand-delivery of a copy of the same this the 1 day of Sept, 1999.



Wayne T. Hill

000025

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

THE STATE OF TEXAS	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
	§	
VS.	§	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
CHARLES MAMOU	§	179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S
MOTION TO REQUIRE THE STATE TO REVEAL AGREEMENTS**

On this the ____ day of _____, 199__, came on to be
heard the Defendant's Motion to Require the State to Reveal Agreements, and after due consideration,
the Court is of the opinion, and it is hereby ORDERED that said Motion is hereby:

_____ GRANTED

_____ DENIED, to which ruling Defendant timely excepts.

SIGNED this the ____ day of _____, 199__.

JUDGE PRESIDING

000026

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSA
District Clerk

SEP - 1 1999

Time: 11:45
Harris County Texas
By: [Signature]

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

THE STATE OF TEXAS § IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
VS. § HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
CHARLES MAMOU § 179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

MOTION TO DISCOVER THE PORTIONS OF
THE DEFENDANT'S STATEMENT WHICH THE
STATE INTENDS TO USE AT TIME OF TRIAL

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, CHARLES MAMOU, Defendant, and files this Motion to Discover
the Portions of the Defendant's Statement Which the State Intends to Use at Time of Trial and in
support thereof would show unto the Court as follows:

I.

Your Defendant stands charges by indictment with the offense of capital murder.

II.

The State is in possession of statement(s) allegedly made by the Defendant.

III.

At the trial of this cause, the State will seek to offer into evidence the alleged
statement(s) in order to convict the Defendant. Prior to its introduction, the State will, in all
probability, seek to edit the alleged statement(s) and exclude portions of the statement from the jury.

IV.


In order to afford the Defendant effective assistance of counsel as guaranteed under the
Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, Article I, Section 10 of the Texas
Constitution and Article 1.05, V.A.C.C.P., and Rules 1.06 and 1.07, Texas Rules of Criminal
Evidence, defense counsel must know, prior to trial, precisely and exactly what portions of the alleged

000027

statements the State will edit and exclude. Without knowing, prior to trial, the portions of the statements the State will edit and exclude, defense counsel will not be able to adequately prepare this cause for trial or to prepare a defense to the allegations in the indictment.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Defendant requests that this Motion be granted for the above stated reasons and that the State be required to notify Defense counsel precisely and exactly what portions of the statements will be edited and excluded.

Respectfully submitted,



Wayne T. Hill
TBA # 09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77027-7106
(713) 623-8312
(713) 626-0182 fax

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the State by hand-delivery of a copy of the same this the 1 day of

Sept, 1999.



Wayne T. Hill

000028

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

THE STATE OF TEXAS	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
	§	
VS.	§	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
CHARLES MAMOU	§	179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S
MOTION TO DISCOVER THE PORTIONS OF
THE DEFENDANT'S STATEMENT WHICH THE
STATE INTENDS TO USE AT TIME OF TRIAL

On this the ____ day of _____, 199__, came on to be heard
the Defendant's Motion to Discover the Portions of the Defendant's Statement Which the State Intends
to Use at Time of Trial, and the Court, after consideration of same Motion should be:

_____ GRANTED, and the State is hereby ORDERED to notify
defense counsel of precisely and exactly what portions of the
statements allegedly made by the Defendant the State will seek
to edit and exclude at the trial of this cause;

_____ DENIED, to which ruling Defendant timely excepts.

SIGNED this the ____ day of _____, 199__.

JUDGE PRESIDING

000029

14-998
14-998

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

THE STATE OF TEXAS

VS.

CHARLES MAMOU

§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**DEFENDANT'S REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE OF DEFENDANT'S
ARREST/CONVICTION RECORDS AND EXTRANEOUS AND/OR UNADJUDICATED
ACTS OF MISCONDUCT TO BE OFFERED AT GUILT OR PUNISHMENT**

TO THE HARRIS COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE:

COMES NOW, CHARLES MAMOU, Pursuant to Rules 404, 405, 608 and 609 of the Texas Rules of Evidence Defendant in the above-styled cause, by and through his attorneys, and makes this his Request for disclosure of Defendant's arrest/ conviction record and Extraneous and/or Unadjudicated Acts of Misconduct.

Defendant is requesting all prior arrests/convictions and extraneous and/or unadjudicated acts of misconduct that the State may present at trial in this cause. Counsel is requesting the disclosure of such acts in writing by September 8, 1999, with sufficient notice of the time, date, place and alleged complainant involved in any such matter.

Respectfully submitted,

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE
SEP -1 1999
Time: 11:45
Harris County, Texas
By: [Signature]

[Signature]
Wayne T. Hill
TBA # 09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77027-7106
(713) 623-8312
(713) 626-0182 fax

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

000030

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the State by hand-delivery of a copy of the same this the 1 day of Sept, 1999.



Wayne T. Hill

000031

14-997
997
S

THE STATE OF TEXAS

VS.

CHARLES MAMOU

NO. 800112
800113

§ IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

§ HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

§ 179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

MOTION FOR JURY LIST

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, CHARLES MAMOU, Defendant in the above cause, by and through his court-appointed attorney, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court that pursuant to Article 34.04 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure and the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States to provide to Defendant and his counsel a copy of the names of the persons summoned as prospective jurors in this cause at least two (2) days prior to the trial in this case, and for good cause Defendant would respectfully show unto this Honorable Court the following:

I.

The State, by and through the Assistant District Attorney for Harris County, has advised counsel for the defense that the State will seek the death penalty against the Defendant in this cause.

II.

The Defendant herein is an indigent and has been incarcerated in jail since his arrest and has not been on bail.

III.

The Defendant does not wish to waive his right to have the names of the prospective jurors in his cause at least two (2) days prior to his trial.

F I L E D
CHARLES BACARISSE
District Clerk


SEP - 1, 1999

Time: 11:45
Harris County, Texas
By: [Signature] Deputy

000032

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Defendant respectfully prays that the Court grant this Motion and provide Defendant and his counsel with the names of the persons summoned as prospective jurors in this cause, at least two (2) days prior to trial.

Respectfully submitted,




Wayne T. Hill
TBA # 09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77027-7106
(713) 623-8312
(713) 626-0182 fax

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the State by hand-delivery of a copy of the same this the 1 day of

Sept, 1999.



Wayne T. Hill

000033

CONFIRM GALE
CONFIRM GALE

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

THE STATE OF TEXAS

VS.

CHARLES MAMOU

§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**MOTION FOR THE COURT TO DIRECT COURT REPORTER
TO TAKE VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF THE JURY AND
BENCH CONFERENCES AND ALL FINAL ARGUMENTS**

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COMES, CHARLES MAMOU, Defendant in the above-styled and numbered cause, by and through Defendant's attorney, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court to instruct the Court Reporter of this Court to take down in shorthand or by any other verbatim method of recordation, the entire voir dire examination of the jury panel in this case, and to take down in shorthand or by any other verbatim method of recordation all bench conferences during the trial of this cause and the final arguments made to the jury by counsel for the state and counsel for the defense.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant respectfully prays that the Court grant this Motion in all things, and instruct the Court Reporter to transcribe jury selection, all bench conferences, and all final arguments in this cause.

Respectfully submitted,



Wayne T. Hill
TBA # 09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77027-7106
(713) 623-8312
(713) 626-0182 fax

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

FILED
CHARLES PACARISSE
Director
SEP -1 1999
Time: 11:45
Harris County, Texas
114

000034

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the State by hand-delivery of a copy of the same this the 9 day of

Sept, 1997.



Wayne T. Hill

000035

COPY

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

THE STATE OF TEXAS	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
	§	
VS.	§	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
CHARLES MAMOU	§	179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S
MOTION FOR THE COURT TO DIRECT COURT REPORTER
TO TAKE VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF THE JURY AND
BENCH CONFERENCES AND ALL FINAL ARGUMENTS

On this ____ day of _____, 199__, came on to be heard the
Defendant's Motion for the Court to Direct the Court Reporter to Take Voir Dire Examination of the
Jury and Bench Conferences and All Final Arguments, and after due consideration, the Court is of the
opinion, and it is hereby ORDERED, that said Motion is:

_____ GRANTED

_____ DENIED, to which ruling Defendant timely excepts.

SIGNED this the ____ day of _____, 199__.

JUDGE PRESIDING

000036

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

14-948
14-948

THE STATE OF TEXAS § IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
VS. § HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
CHARLES MAMOU § 179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DEMAND FOR INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE

COMES NOW, CHARLES MAMOU, Defendant in the above-styled and numbered cause, by and through Defendant's attorney of record, and respectfully demands to individually examine each juror on voir dire apart from the entire panel, pursuant to Article 35.17, V.A.C.C.P.

Respectfully submitted,

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE
SEP -1 1999
Time: 11:45
Harris County, Texas
By: [Signature]

[Signature]
Wayne T. Hill
TBA # 09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77027-7106
(713) 623-8312
(713) 626-0182 fax

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the State by hand-delivery of a copy of the same this the 1 day of

Sept, 1999.

[Signature]
Wayne T. Hill

000037

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

14-994
094

THE STATE OF TEXAS	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
VS.	§	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
CHARLES MAMOU	§	179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRESENT
WRITTEN QUESTIONNAIRE TO JURY PANEL

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, CHARLES MAMOU, Defendant in the above cause, by and through Defendant's attorney, and presents this Motion to Present Written Questionnaire to Jury Panel, and in support thereof respectfully show the Court as follows:

I.

The Defendant stands charged by indictment with the offense of capital murder and will be allowed to conduct individual voir dire on each jury panel member. In order to conduct this individual voir dire in the most complete manner but also to avoid lengthy and repetitious questioning, the Defendant requests that a written questionnaire be submitted to every jury panel member. By allowing the panel to fill out these questions prior to their individual examination, the Court could save valuable court time and still provide the Defendant and the State with the information essential to a Defendant in a capital murder case.

II.

To deny this information to the Defendant would be to deny Defendant's rights to a fair and impartial jury as guaranteed by the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Section 10 of the Texas Constitution.

000038

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant prays that this Court to submit
a written questionnaire to the jury panel prior to their individual voir dire.

Respectfully submitted,

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE
SEP - 1 1999
Time: 11:48
Harris County, Texas
By: [Signature]

[Signature]
Wayne T. Hill
TBA # 09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77027-7106
(713) 623-8312
(713) 626-0182 fax

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been
furnished to counsel for the State by hand-delivery of a copy of the same this the 1 day of
August, 1999.

[Signature]
Wayne T. Hill

000039

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

THE STATE OF TEXAS

VS.

CHARLES MAMOU

§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRESENT
A WRITTEN QUESTIONNAIRE TO JURY PANEL

On this ____ day of _____, 199__, came on to be heard the
Defendant's Motion to Present Written Questionnaire to Jury Panel, and after due consideration, the
Court is of the opinion, and it is hereby ORDERED, that said Motion is:

GRANTED

DENIED, to which ruling Defendant timely excepts.

SIGNED this the ____ day of _____, 199__.

JUDGE PRESIDING

000040

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE

SEP - 1 1999

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

Time: 11:45
Harris County, Texas
by [Signature] Deputy

THE STATE OF TEXAS

VS.

CHARLES MAMOU

§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Pursuant to the authority of Article 28.01 and 39.14, V.A.C.C.P., comes the Defendant, CHARLES MAMOU, by and through Defendant's attorney of record, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court to order the District Attorney to produce and/or allow defense counsel to inspect and copy and/or photograph the following items in which are in the possession and/or within the knowledge of the State of Texas or an agency thereof:

STATEMENTS BY DEFENDANT

1. Any statement, including Grand Jury testimony, made by Defendant to the police, District Attorney, or any of his employees, any law enforcement officials, State agency, or any private citizen within the knowledge of the police or the District Attorney, or any of his employees, any law enforcement official or State agency, whether under arrest or not, or whether written or oral.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

EXCULPATORY/INCULPATORY STATEMENTS

2. Any and all exculpatory or inculpatory written and/or oral statements, confessions or admissions (whether or not reduced to writing) presently in the possession, custody or under the control of the State, its agents, or agencies, made by the Defendant to any witness or person the State has knowledge of.

000041

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

PHOTOGRAPHS

3. Any photographs, drawings or charts made by the police, the District Attorney's Office or anyone else which were made with references to this case, which are in the possession of the police, District Attorney, law enforcement official, State agency or private citizen within the knowledge of the police, District Attorney, any of his employees, any law enforcement official, State agency, or employee or representative of same.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

SCENE PHOTOGRAPHS

4. Any and all photographs of the scene that relate to the alleged offense, including but not limited to the interior and exterior of the premises, appurtenances thereto, the curtilage, the street, or surrounding vicinity, including the names and addresses of the individuals who took said photographs and the date said photographs were taken.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

PHOTOGRAPHS OF COMPLAINANT

5. Any and all photographs taken of the complainant by or at the request of, or within the knowledge of the police, District Attorney or any of his employees, any law enforcement official, State agency or agents thereof. Any other photographs of the complainant that the State intends to introduce in evidence at trial.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

PHOTOGRAPHS OF DEFENDANT

6. Any and all photographs which may have been made of the Defendant while in custody and control of the police, District Attorney, their employees, or an agency of the State of Texas. Any other photographs of the Defendant that the State intends to introduce in evidence at trial.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

IDENTIFICATION

7. Information regarding the identification of the Defendant whether by photographs, films, line-ups, or show-ups as follows:

- a. Names and addresses of persons identifying the Defendant, specifying the crime for which the Defendant was identified and the corresponding date of the identification and the date of the alleged offense for which the Defendant was identified;
- b. Photographs used in any photographic identification;
- c. Identify and description of persons participating in any and all line-ups or show-ups with the Defendant;
- d. The names and their particular participation of all officers conducting any and all line-ups or show-ups in which the Defendant was placed for the purpose of identification wherein the Defendant was identified, the corresponding offense and date said offense for which Defendant was identified;
- e. The dates, times and locations of any and all line-ups or show-ups which were conducted wherein the Defendant was identified; and
- f. Any and all waivers of Defendant's right to have counsel present at any line-up or show-up which were signed by the Defendant.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

WITNESSES-INJURIES

8. The names and addresses of any and all persons relating to or connected with the making of any notes, medical reports or other reports of the complainant's alleged injuries that allegedly resulted from this offense and this is to include any statements made by any complainant to,

or in the presence of, any such person in connection with said injuries or the occurrence of the alleged offense.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE

9. Any and all favorable evidence which is in the possession, custody, or control of the State, or investigating body of the State of Texas, or any police department or any of their agencies including, but not limited to the following:

- a. Any prior inconsistent statements of witnesses for the State which are favorable to Defendant or are exculpatory in nature regarding any alleged offense by the Defendant;
- b. The names and addresses of any eyewitnesses to the offenses alleged which are favorable to the Defendant or are exculpatory in nature;
- c. Failure of any witness to identify Defendant either from photographs, films, or in person while in a line-up or show-up; and
- d. Results of any scientific tests conducted which are favorable to the Defendant or exculpatory in nature including, but not limited to ballistic tests or fingerprints at the site of the offense or on other tangible evidence.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

REAL EVIDENCE

10. Any papers, objects or real evidence that is in the possession of the police, the District Attorney's Office or their employees or State agencies which may in any way be material to the guilt or innocence of this Defendant.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

SCIENTIFIC TEST RESULTS

11. Any written report of any test that is a biological, microscopic or scientific analysis of any items which was conducted pursuant to the investigation of the instant case regardless of whether said test was prepared or conducted at the request of any law enforcement official, by the

State of Texas or its agents, State agency or any private citizen, within the knowledge of the police or the District Attorney, or any of his employees, together with any descriptions, test dates, and any determinations as well as the name and address of the individuals who conducted such tests or analysis.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

TEST ON COMPLAINANT

12. The results of any and all blood tests, chemical, or other medical or biological tests run on complainant and/or deceased by whomsoever made.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

EVIDENCE OF SCENE

13. Any and all tests, records, diagrams, charts, or written reports relating to the actual scene of the alleged offense, e.g., diagrams of where any complainant or evidence was or where any person was allegedly located at the time of the alleged offense.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

POLICE INFORMATION

14. The names, rank, badge number, employee payroll number and address of all police officers of the State or County law enforcement agents and all employees of the Criminal District Attorney who participated in any way in the investigation of this case, whether at the scene, the police station, county jail or elsewhere.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

FINGERPRINT

15. Any and all fingerprint impressions obtained by whatever means and process from the scene of the alleged offense in question or found as a result of the investigation of this

000045

offense, whether such fingerprints were fingerprints of the Defendant or were fingerprints from some other person or persons known or unknown.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

CONVICTION RECORD

16. The juvenile and criminal arrest and/or conviction record of the complainant, and all state witnesses.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

FIREARMS EXAMINATION

17. The weapon or weapons which the State of Texas alleged or may allege was or were used in the commission of the alleged offense, including any weapons or evidence found at any crime scene. Defendant specifically requested that the court order the Houston Police Department Firearms Laboratory to provide comparison microscope photographs depicting any purported comparison/match made of shell casing examined in this case.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

EVIDENCE FROM DEFENDANT

18. Any and all objects of evidence the State intends to use which was found on Defendant's person at the time of his apprehension or arrest. Any other evidence obtained from Defendant.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

CONVICTION OR ADJUDICATION RECORDS

19. These are necessary so that defense counsel may have adequate time to review these documents which will be the basis of the State's case on punishment, if any. Any official records reflecting criminal or juvenile charges and disposition of same.

000046

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

20. A list of witnesses known to the State including their full name (including alias) current address and telephone number.

GRANTED _____

DENIED _____

As a basis for this Motion, the Defendant states that the objects requested are vital and material to the issue of the Defendant's innocence for the following reasons:

That the items requested are material to the issue of Defendant's attorney to render effective counsel as is guaranteed to the Defendant by the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States of America and by Sections 10, 19 and 29 of Article I of the Constitution of the State of Texas and are needed in order that the Defendant may be informed of the nature and causes of the accusation against Defendant.

This Motion is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.

WHEREFORE PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Defendant respectfully prays that this

Honorable Court order:

- (1) The District Attorney to permit the Defendant to inspect, copy or photograph the above set out tangible objects prior to the trial in this cause which are in the possession or subject to the control of the State of Texas or any agency thereof pursuant to Articles 39.14, 28.01, 1.03, 1.04 and 1.05 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure, Article I, Sections 10 and 19 of the Constitution of the State of Texas and pursuant to the Defendant's right to due process of the law, the effective assistance of Counsel as guaranteed to Defendant by the Fourteenth and Sixth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States respectively;
- (2) That a timely hearing on said Motion be had;
- (3) That an "in camera" inspection of all evidence sought to be discovered but withheld by the prosecution be had;
- (4) That an inquiry be made of the prosecutors and agents of the State of Texas to determine the extent of compliance with any discovery that is Ordered by this Honorable Court; and
- (5) That any and all evidence requested but not Ordered subject to discovery by this Honorable Court be included in the Appellate record of this cause for

000047

review by the Appellate Court; and for any and all further relief to which this Court may deem the Defendant entitled.

Respectfully submitted,




Wayne T. Hill
TBA # 09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77027-7106
(713) 623-8312
(713) 626-0182 fax

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the State by hand-delivery of a copy of the same this the 1 day of

Sept, 1999.



Wayne T. Hill

ORDER

This Court's rulings on Defendant's Motion For Discovery And Inspection are noted in the space provided on the Motion.

SIGNED AND ORDERED this _____ day of _____, 1999.

JUDGE PRESIDING

000048

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

14-989C
989D

THE STATE OF TEXAS § IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
VS. § HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
CHARLES MAMOU § 179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

MOTION FOR PRODUCTION AND DISCLOSURE OF INFORMANT

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, Defendant, by and through the undersigned attorney, and moves this Court for an order disclosing the existence and identity of any police informant or undercover operatives involved in the investigation which led to the charges filed herein, and in support thereof would show:

I.

The Houston Police Department, the Harris County Sheriff's Department and Lafayette Louisiana Police officials took part in the investigation and arrest of Defendant in these matters.

II.

These law enforcement agencies may have utilized "confidential informants" in the course of their investigations.

III.

Defendant would show that the identity of this "confidential informant" is discoverable pursuant to Rule 505(c)(1)(2)(3) of the Texas Rules of Criminal Evidence.

FILED
CHARLES PACARISSE
Clerk

SEP - 1 1999

Time: 11:45
Harris County, Texas
By: [Signature] Deputy

000049

IV.

Defendant requests that this Court order the State of Texas by and through its prosecuting attorney to investigate and determine the identity and current address of any "confidential informant".

V.

Defendant prays that the Court order the disclosure of the existence, identity and current address of any such individuals so that the undersigned may interview those individuals in the course of his preparation in this matter.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant prays that this Court grant this Motion.

Respectfully submitted,




Wayne T. Hill
TBA # 09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77027
(713) 623-8312
(713) 626-0182 fax

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT
CHARLES MAMOU

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing MOTION has been hand-delivered to the State of Texas by serving an Assistant District Attorney.

SIGNED THIS 1 day of April, 1997.


Wayne T. Hill

000050

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

THE STATE OF TEXAS	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
	§	
VS.	§	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
CHARLES MAMOU	§	179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ORDER

On this ____ day of _____, 199__, came to be heard the Defendant's Motion for Production and Disclosure of Informant. It is the opinion of this Court that said Motion should be granted.

Therefore, it is the ORDER of this Court that the Houston Police Department and the Harris County District Attorney's office produce and disclose the existence, identity and current address of any and all informants or undercover operatives present during the investigation which led to these charges being filed against Defendant.

The State must comply with this Order no later than _____.

SIGNED THIS ____ day of _____, 199__.

Judge Presiding

000051

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

STATE OF TEXAS § IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
 §
VS. § HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
 §
CHARLES MAMOU § 179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISCLOSE THE EXISTENCE
OF ANY TESTING, QUESTIONING, SURVEILLANCE OR
OBSERVATIONS OF DEFENDANT BY STATE AGENTS OR REPRESENTATIVES**

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, Defendant, by and through the undersigned attorney, and files this his Motion to Disclose the Existence of any Testing, Questioning, Surveillance or Observations of Defendant by State Agents or Representatives, including jail inmates, and in support thereof would show this court as follows:

I.

Counsel for Defendant believes that the Defendant has been subjected to testing, questioning, surveillance or observation by agents of the State or jail inmates.

II.

Defendant is entitled to know the existence of any of the matters set forth in paragraph I above.

III.

Defendant requests the disclosure of any testing, questioning, surveillance or observation while Defendant has been in the custody of any State agents including the time when Defendant was incarcerated in Louisiana. This request includes audio, electronic, photographic or other means of documenting any of the matters set forth in paragraph I above.

FILED
CHARLES PACARISSE
District Clerk


SEP - 1 1999

Time: _____
Harris County, Texas
By _____ Deputy

000052

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant prays that the Court grant this Motion and Order the State to immediately disclose the existence of any such matters. Defendant also prays that Counsel for the State be ordered to make inquiry of all law enforcement agents that have had custody of Defendant to determine if any such testing, questioning, surveillance or observation has taken place since Defendant's arrest.

Respectfully submitted,




Wayne T. Hill
TBA # 09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77027-7106
(713) 623-8312
(713) 626-0182 fax

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT
CHARLES MAMOU

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the State by hand-delivery of a copy of the same this the 7 day of

Sept, 1999



Wayne T. Hill

000053

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

STATE OF TEXAS	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
	§	
VS.	§	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
CHARLES MAMOU	§	179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ORDER

ON THIS ____ day of _____, 199____, came to be heard the Defendant's Motion to Disclose the Existence of Any Testing, Questioning, Surveillance or Observations of Defendant by State Agents or Representatives. It is the ORDER of the Court that the said Motion is hereby (GRANTED) (DENIED) as follows:

SIGNED this ____ day of _____, 1999.

Judge Presiding

000054

CAUSE NO. 800112
800113

THE STATE OF TEXAS §
VS. §
CHARLES MAMOU §

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

MOTION TO VOIR DIRE ON
PAROLE LAW - 40 YEAR MINIMUM

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, the Defendant, CHARLES MAMOU, by and through his attorneys of record, and makes this his Motion to Voir Dire on Parole Law - 40 Year Minimum, and as grounds therefore would show as follows:

I.

If the Defendant is convicted of capital murder, Defendant will be forced to serve a minimum of 40 calendar years before Defendant will be eligible for parole. The jury has a right to know this information in answering the special issues. Further, the Defendant has a right to know how this information will effect the jurors' answers to the special issues. These questions are necessary in order to allow the Defendant to intelligently exercise his peremptory challenges, as well as to have the effective assistance of counsel. This request is made on the basis of the Sixth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, as well as Article I §§ 3a, 10, 13, 15, 15a and 19 of the Texas Constitution and V.A.C.C.P. 36.79.

II.

Defendant should be permitted to voir dire the jury generally about the requirement that a life sentence for capital murder carries a mandatory, minimum period of confinement of forty (40) years.

000055

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant requests that Defendant be allowed to ask voir dire prospective jurors regarding mandatory 40 year prison term accompanying a Life sentence.

Respectfully submitted,



Wayne T. Hill
TBA # 09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77027-7106
(713) 623-8312
(713) 626-0182 fax

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

000056

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the State by hand-delivery of a copy of same this the 1 day of

Sept, 1999.



Wayne T. Hill

000057

CAUSE NO. 800112

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE
District Clerk

SEP 08 1999

Time: _____
Harris County, Texas

By _____
IN THE 179TH DISTRICT

STATE OF TEXAS

V.

CHARLES MAMOU, JR.

COURT OF

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

**DISCLOSURE OF DEFENDANT'S ARREST/CONVICTION RECORDS AND
EXTRANEOUS AND/OR UNADJUDICATED ACTS OF MISCONDUCT**


COMES NOW THE STATE OF TEXAS, by and through the undersigned
Assistant District Attorney and gives notice of the following
extraneous and/or unadjudicated acts of misconduct:

1. September 5, 1998 - 14600 Allover, Houston, Texas
Murder - Complainant: Anthony Williams
2. August 7, 1998 - 435 Georgia St., Lafayette, Louisiana
Murder - Complainant: Terry James Landry
3. March 5, 1994 - St. Landry Parish
Possession with Intent to Deliver Cocaine
Misrepresentation During Booking
4. November 19, 1994 - St. Landry Parish
Possession with Intent to Deliver Cocaine
5. December 17 1996 - Lafayette Parish
Speeding and Carrying a Weapon
6. December 12, 1995 - Lafayette Parish
Aggravated arson
Failure to appear for Driving under Suspension

14-983

000058

7. February 4, 1996 - Lafayette Parish
No valid Drivers License in Possession
8. September 9, 1997 - Lafayette Parish
Failure to Appear - Speeding
9. July 26, 1995 - Lafayette Parish
Driving Under Suspension; No seatbelt


LYNN MCCLELLAN
ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY
HARRIS COUNTY, T E X A S

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and exact copy of the foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the Defense; Wayne Hill, by hand delivery of a copy of same this the 8th day of September, 1999. If any additional information comes to the States attention, the State will notify counsel for the Defense in writing as soon as the information becomes available.


Lynn McClellan
Assistant District Attorney

14-984 J

NO. 800, 112 } COUNTY CRIMINAL COURT AT LAW NO. _____
 THE STATE OF TEXAS } 17962 DISTRICT COURT
 VS. } OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
Charles Morron Jr. } Aug TERM, A. D. 19 99
 } DATE: SEP - 8 1999

DEFENSE MOTION AT TIME OF ENTERING PLEA OF NOT GUILTY

Comes now the Defendant, at the time of entering a plea of Not Guilty in open Court, and requests that the jury assess the punishment herein in the event a verdict of guilty is returned by the Jury.

Charles Morron Jr. - by
 Defendant permanently Robert W. Woots

Robert W. Woots
 Attorney for the Defendant

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE
 SEP - 9 1999
 9:30
 Time: _____
 Harris County, Texas
 By _____ Deputy

CAUSE NO. 800112

STATE OF TEXAS	*	IN THE 179TH DISTRICT
V.	*	COURT OF
CHARLES MAMOU, JR.	*	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

FIRST AMENDED

DISCLOSURE OF DEFENDANT'S ARREST/CONVICTION RECORDS AND
EXTRANEOUS AND/OR UNADJUDICATED ACTS OF MISCONDUCT

COMES NOW THE STATE OF TEXAS, by and through the undersigned
Assistant District Attorney and gives notice of the following
extraneous and/or unadjudicated acts of misconduct:

1. September 5, 1998 - 14600 Allover, Houston, Texas

Murder - Complainant: Anthony Williams

2. August 7, 1998 - 435 Georgia St., Lafayette, Louisiana

Murder - Complainant: Terry James Landry

3. March 5, 1994 - St. Landry Parish

Possession with Intent to Deliver Cocaine

Misrepresentation During Booking

4. November 19, 1994 - St. Landry Parish

Possession with Intent to Deliver Cocaine

5. December 17 1996 - Lafayette Parish

Speeding and Carrying a Weapon

6. December 12, 1995 - Lafayette Parish

Aggravated arson

Failure to appear for Driving under Suspension

F I L E D
CHARLES BACARISSE
District Clerk


SEP 10 1999

Time: _____
Harris County, Texas
By _____
Deputy

14 582 *[Signature]*


000061

7. February 4, 1996 - Lafayette Parish
No valid Drivers License in Possession
8. September 9, 1997 - Lafayette Parish
Failure to Appear - Speeding
9. July 26, 1995 - Lafayette Parish
Driving Under Suspension; No seatbelt
10. December 6, 1998 - Harris County
Aggravated Robbery; Attempted Murder; Aggravated Assault;
Attempted Capital Murder; Auto Theft; Illegal Investment
Victims : Dion Holley and Kevin Walter
11. All disciplinary records from jail and penitentiary facilities
in Texas and Louisiana which have been made available to the
Defense.


LYN MCCLELLAN
ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY
HARRIS COUNTY, T E X A S

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and exact copy of the foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the Defense, Wayne Hill, by hand delivery of a copy of same this the 8th day of September, 1999. If any additional information comes to the States attention, the State will notify counsel for the Defense in writing as soon as the information becomes available.


Lyn McClellan
Assistant District Attorney

000062

LETTER TO THE JUDGE


TO: JUDGE MIKE WILKINSON
179TH DISTRICT COURT #800112
301 SAN JACINTO
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002

REF. STATE OF TEXAS
VS
CHARLES MAMOU JR

DONALD RAY NELSON
JUROR #53

ATT: JUDGE BUDTTE

PLEASE SEE ATTACHED LETTER FROM
DOCTOR MERRICK I. ROSS ABOUT
MY MEDICAL CONDITION THAT
WE DISCUSSED LAST WEEK.



SINCERELY,
DONALD RAY NELSON
9/22/99

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
MD ANDERSON
CANCER CENTER

9/24/99

To whom it may concern,


Mr. Donald Nelson is
a patient at M.D. Anderson and
was recently diagnosed with
Melanoma. He is scheduled
for surgery soon to or in very
close proximity to an upcoming trial.
This surgery will probably make
it difficult for him to carry out
his responsibilities as a juror.


Thank you

Merrick Ross, MD

TEXAS MEDICAL CENTER
1515 HOLCOMBE BOULEVARD • HOUSTON, TEXAS 77030 • (713) 792-2121
A Comprehensive Cancer Center Designated by the National Cancer Institute

713-792-7217
000064





JUDGE MIKE WILKINSON
179TH DISTRICT COURT
301 SAN JACINTO
HOUSTON, TX 77002

179TH DISTRICT COURT

CHARLES MAMOU JR

CAUSE # 800112

CAPITAL MURDER JURY POOL/ STRIKE LIST

1. SEPT 9 1999 GEORGE PENAS #2
2. SEPT 9 1999 FRANCES D. HASHAGEN #6
3. ~~SEPT 9 1999 ALEJANDRA SOLANO AMIE #10~~ *Δ*
4. ~~SEPT 9 1999 JERRI MCGRAW TINNEMBYER #18~~ *ST*
5. SEPT 9 1999 STACIE MARIE SIBLEY #21
6. ~~SEPT 10 1999 HENRY SPENCER #22~~ *Δ*
7. ~~SEPT 10 1999 LINDA WASHBURN WYATT #23~~ *ST*
8. ~~SEPT 10 1999 RAYMOND G RUSSELL #27~~ *Δ*
9. ~~SEPT 14 1999 LYNNE WARREN CANTRELL #33~~ *Δ*
10. ~~SEPT 14 1999 KATHERINE M STEPHENS #36~~ *Δ*
11. SEPT 14 1999 KATHERINE MARIE WALLER #38
12. SEPT 14 1999 MICHAEL G B EVANS #42
13. SEPT 14 1999 MICHELE ROWLAND BEASLEY #43
14. SEPT 14 1999 CECILIA FINE #44
15. ~~SEPT 15 1999 VERA CALDANA GARCIA #48~~ *Δ*
16. ~~SEPT 15 1999 ROSE CRAWFORD TURNER #50~~ *Δ*
17. SEPT 15 1999 DONALD RAY NELSON #53 *Agree on 9/17/99 medical*
18. ~~SEPT 16 1999 ZENOBIA CRAWFORD PRINCE #72~~ *ST*
19. ~~SEPT 16 1999 SUZETTE YVETTE BARR #77~~ *Δ*
20. ~~SEPT 16 1999 GILBERT CANTU #78~~ *ST*
21. SEPT 16 1999 GREGORY DON ADAMS #81
22. ~~SEPT 17 1999 CHARLES ROBERT GILBERT #85~~ *ST*
23. ~~SEPT 17 1999 ELYSE CONNER BONY #84~~ *Δ*
24. SEPT 17 1999 STACIE JIMMIE COKINOS #88
25. ~~SEPT 20 1999 GLEN EARL DINKINS #93~~ *Δ*
26. ~~SEPT 20 1999 LINDA KAY COOK #95~~ *ST*
27. ~~SEPT 20 1999 JUDITH LYNNE BARNETT #99~~ *ST*
28. ~~SEPT 20 1999 LATONYA TAMEKA HARRIS #100~~ *ST*
29. ~~SEPT 20 1999 JOYCE STONER WILLIAMS #108~~ *ST*
30. SEPT 21 1999 NOHEMY ARCIDA BONILLA #110
31. SEPT 21 1999 LINDA N DEATON #112
32. SEPT 21 1999 TRACI LEE KARAM #113
33. ~~SEPT 21 1999 JOSEPH MICHAEL MATHEWS #114~~ *agreement*
34. SEPT 21 1999 WILLIAM GLENN KELLY #117 *alternate*
35. SEPT 21 1999 WESLEY DEWAYNE MIKLE #118
36. SEPT 22 1999 ROLAND THOMAS VOLKER #122
37. SEPT 22 1999 RITA FRAME SHOTWELL #124
38. SEPT 22 1999 EVELYN REYNOLDS MICHKA #125
39. SEPT 27 1999 BRENDA GOLDEN DIXON #127
40. SEPT 27 1999 ROGER BAUMGARTEN #128
41. SEPT 27 1999 MARY JONES SMITH #129
42. SEPT 27 1999 DALE A HAUCK #139
43. SEPT 27 1999 JOHN GREGORY REEVES #151
- 44.
- 45.
- 46.
- 47.
- 48.
- 49.
- 50.

F I L L E D
CHARLES BACARISSE
 District Clerk

SEP 29 1999

Time: *11:00 AM*
 Harris County, Texas
 By: *[Signature]*
 Deputy

000066

Capital Murder JuryNO. 800/12

THE STATE OF TEXAS

VS

Charles Mamou, Jr

I

I

IN THE 17th DISTRICT COURT
OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXASJURYDEFENDANTSTRIKESSTATE**FILED****CHARLES BACARISSE**
District Clerk

SEP 29 1999

Time: 11:00 AM

Harris County, Texas

By: [Signature] DeputyCAUSE

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. SEP 08 1999 Elizarraras, Johnny 1, A | 19. SEP 10 1999 Dana Maria Goodman, #24 A |
| 2. SEP 08 1999 Robertson, Kiarlan 3, A | 20. SEP 10 1999 Deanna Bruce Jones, #30 A |
| 3. SEP 08 1999 Kristynik, Jamie 5, A | 21. SEP 10 1999 Kelly Janel Trainor #15, A |
| 4. SEP 08 1999 Childers Johnson, Linda 7, A | 22. SEP 10 1999 Louis G. Willis #28 A |
| 5. SEP 08 1999 Johnson, James 11, A | 23. SEP 13 1999 Mary Johnson #31 A |
| 6. SEP 08 1999 Shotts, Denise 13, A | 24. SEP 13 1999 Charles Jenkins #32 A |
| 7. SEP 08 1999 Chan, Hong-Ta 14, A | 25. SEP 13 1999 Marilyn Shew #34 A |
| 8. SEP 08 1999 Sepulveda, Melissa 16, A | 26. SEP 13 1999 Thomas Jefferson #35 A |
| 9. SEP 08 1999 Howard, Thomas 17, A | 27. SEP 13 1999 Betty H. Larna # 39 A |
| 10. SEP 08 1999 Herndon, Deborah 20, A | 28. SEP 13 1999 Morgan McCain # 41 A |
| 11. SEP 08 1999 Bluetgen, Kimberly 25, A | 29. SEP 13 1999 Karen K. Lintner #45 A |
| 12. SEP 08 1999 Ducote, Daniel 26, A | 30. SEP 13 1999 Luis Mendez # 46 A |
| 13. SEP 08 1999 Patsy, Michael 29, A | 31. SEP 13 1999 Yvette Centeno #47 A |
| 14. SEP 09 1999 Wagy, Brenda 13, E | 32. SEP 13 1999 Brian Anderson #51 A |
| 15. SEP 09 1999 Hernandez, Eric 9, C | 33. SEP 13 1999 Alvaro Jiron #49 A |
| 16. SEP 09 1999 Cardenas, Ruben 8, C | 34. SEP 13 1999 Theresa Anne Vannoy #55 A |
| 17. SEP 09 1999 Smith, Joyce 7, C | 35. SEP 13 1999 Noelia Rosales #57 A |
| 18. SEP 09 1999 Ramie, Colleen Cookson, #11, A | 36. SEP 13 1999 Steven D. Smith #58 A |

000067

CAUSE 800112

THE STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE 17th DISTRICT COURT

VS.

Charles Mamou, Jr.

OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

CAUSE OR AGREEMENT

37 SEP 13 1999	Kevin Casey #59 A	82 SEP 17 1999	Kevin Thomas Phillips #131 A
38 SEP 13 1999	Firoz Shaded #60 A	83 SEP 17 1999	Samaria Anne Bellows #131 A
39 SEP 13 1999	Joseph Vincent #61 A	84 SEP 17 1999	Linda Walker #135 A
40 SEP 13 1999	Risa Thomas #64 A	85 SEP 17 1999	Ervin Dominick #132 A
41 SEP 13 1999	Patricia McDonald #66 A	86 SEP 17 1999	Idalia Gatica Bernal #141 A
42 SEP 13 1999	D. Ayna H. Thacker #67 A	87 SEP 17 1999	Pamela Murias Grimes #132 A
43 SEP 13 1999	Kevin Paul Jones #68 A	88 SEP 17 1999	Thelma B. Dailey #142 A
44 SEP 13 1999	Henna C. McKinnis #71 A	89 SEP 17 1999	John G. Joseph Garcia #145 A
45 SEP 13 1999	Lillian D. Brown #73 A	90 SEP 17 1999	Mary Renner Heidbreder #149 A
46 SEP 13 1999	Robert C. Whitworth #75 A	91 SEP 17 1999	Kimberly Lashae Harvey #150 A
47 SEP 13 1999	Kathryn Oquilla #76 A	92 SEP 20 1999	Cynthia Kay Mills #103 A
48 SEP 13 1999	Bernice Blewerhall #79 A	93 SEP 20 1999	Matthew Dale Taylor #94 A
49 SEP 13 1999	Erasmus DeBatuente #82 A	94 SEP 20 1999	Maria Elizabeth Brooks #111 A
50 SEP 13 1999	Rola H. Comeaux #82 A	95 SEP 21 1999	Quart Johnson #104 A
51 SEP 13 1999	Janet H. Fridell #85 A	96 SEP 21 1999	Crawley Baker #107 A
52 SEP 13 1999	Edward Meddell #86 A	97 SEP 21 1999	Houston Hamilton #105 A
53 SEP 13 1999	Marilynn McJannet #87 A	98 SEP 22 1999	Casaleyn Ballard #119 A
54 SEP 13 1999	Renee Quincey Wom #89 A	99 SEP 22 1999	Nor Wilson #121 A
55 SEP 13 1999	Jason M. Weller #90 A	100 SEP 27 1999	Joseph R. Braas #133 A
56 SEP 14 1999	D. Ometh R. Pandey #370 C	101 SEP 27 1999	Patricia Hawley #731 A
57 SEP 14 1999	Ross McNeill Smith #40 A	102 SEP 27 1999	Robert Williams #360 A
58 SEP 14 1999	Archie Richard Dargy #63 A	103 SEP 27 1999	Rydia Hernandez #100 A
59 SEP 14 1999	Zori Lawrence #40 A	104 SEP 27 1999	Carol G. Hutton #105 A
60 SEP 15 1999	James Thomas Pryor #41 A	105 SEP 27 1999	Charles Hendrix #112 A
61 SEP 15 1999	Thomas Eugene Smith #52 A	106 SEP 27 1999	Darrell W. Harris #114 A
62 SEP 15 1999	Douglas Albert Polega #56 A	107 SEP 27 1999	Michael R. Smith #158 A
63 SEP 15 1999	Eric Garcia #62 A	108	
64 SEP 16 1999	Marguerite B. Upum #69 A	109	
65 SEP 16 1999	Anna Hall Elid #70 A	110	
66 SEP 16 1999	Steven Wiedemann #15 A	111	
67 SEP 17 1999	Worthy G. Beasley #91 A	112	
68 SEP 17 1999	Janice M. Thill #92 A	113	
69 SEP 17 1999	Noria D. Humphries #96 A	114	
70 SEP 17 1999	Herbert David Sayre #97 A	115	
71 SEP 17 1999	Ronald H. Lippincott #98 A	116	
72 SEP 17 1999	Francis Johnson #101 A	117	
73 SEP 17 1999	Elizabeth Montgomery #102 A	118	
74 SEP 17 1999	Andrea E. Jones #106 A	119	
75 SEP 17 1999	George R. Zamudio #109 A	120	
76 SEP 17 1999	Michael Scott Hume #115 A	121	
77 SEP 17 1999	Elias J. Washburn #116 A	122	
78 SEP 17 1999	Timothy S. Kestemering #120 A	123	
79 SEP 17 1999	George B. Randall #123 A	124	
80 SEP 17 1999	Jean Pierre Nuy #125 A	125	
81 SEP 17 1999	Martha S. Burdick #126 A	126	

000068

THE STATE OF TEXAS CAUSE" _____ IN THE 179th DISTRICT COURT
VS.

OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

CAUSE OR AGGREETMENT _____

217 _____
218 _____
219 _____
220 _____
221 _____
222 _____
223 _____
224 _____
225 _____
226 _____
227 _____
228 _____
229 _____
230 _____
231 _____
232 _____
233 _____
234 _____
235 _____
236 _____
237 _____
238 _____
239 _____
240 _____
241 _____
242 _____
243 _____
244 _____
245 _____
246 _____
247 _____
248 _____
249 _____
250 _____
251 _____
252 _____

253 _____
254 _____
255 _____
256 _____
257 _____
258 _____
259 _____
260 _____
261 _____
262 _____
263 _____
264 _____
265 _____
266 _____
267 _____
268 _____
269 _____
270 _____
271 _____
272 _____
273 _____
274 _____
275 _____
276 _____
277 _____
278 _____
279 _____
280 _____
281 _____
282 _____
283 _____
284 _____
285 _____
286 _____
287 _____
289 _____

JURY POOL

1. SEP 09 1999 Pena, George #2
2. SEP 09 1999 Hashagen, Frances #6
3. SEP 09 1999 Amie, Alejandra #10
4. SEP 09 1999 Jerr, McGraw, Tinnemeyer #18
5. SEP 09 1999 Sibley, Stacie #21
6. SEP 10 1999 Henry Spencer #22
7. SEP 10 1999 Linda Washburn Wyatt #23
8. SEP 10 1999 Raymond G. Russell #27
9. SEP 14 1999 Kyana Ventrell #33
10. SEP 14 1999 Katherine Skphema #36
11. SEP 14 1999 Katharine M. Warden #38
12. SEP 14 1999 Michael H.B. Evans #42
13. SEP 14 1999 Michelle R. Beasley #43
14. SEP 14 1999 Cecilia Fine #44
15. SEP 15 1999 Vera Saldana Garcia #48
16. SEP 15 1999 Rose Crawford Turner #50
17. SEP 15 1999 Donald Ray Nelson ^(Legn Medical) #51
18. SEP 16 1999 Zondice C. Prince #72
19. SEP 16 1999 Suzette H. Barr #77
20. SEP 16 1999 Robert H. Caste #78
21. SEP 16 1999 Gregory D. Adams #81
22. SEP 17 1999 Charles Robert Hillert #83
23. SEP 17 1999 Shane Crumley #84
24. SEP 17 1999 Stacie Jimmie Ceballos #88
25. SEP 20 1999 Glen Earl Dinkins #93
26. SEP 20 1999 Linda Kay Cook #95
27. SEP 20 1999 Judith Lynne Barnett #99
28. SEP 20 1999 LaTonya Tameka Glasper Harris #100
29. SEP 21 1999 Jape Stone Williams #108
30. SEP 21 1999 Johnny Arcida Bonetti #110
31. SEP 21 1999 Kenan Weston #112
32. SEP 21 1999 Traci Lee Karam #113
33. SEP 21 1999 William Joseph Matthews #114
34. SEP 21 1999 William Glenn Kelly #117
35. SEP 21 1999 Wesley D. Miele #118
36. SEP 20 1999 Roland Thomas Volka #122

37. SEP 22 1999 Rita Frame Skotney #124
38. SEP 22 1999 Evelyn Hail Michels #125
39. SEP 27 1999 Brenda Holland Dyer #127
40. SEP 27 1999 Roger L. Burgett #128
41. SEP 27 1999 Mary Jane Smith #129
42. SEP 27 1999 Dale R. Hauch #139
43. SEP 27 1999 John D. Gray Weaver #151

- 44.
- 45.
- 46.
- 47.
- 48.
- 49.
- 50.
- 51.
- 52.
- 53.
- 54.
- 55.
- 56.
- 57.
- 58.
- 59.
- 60.
- 61.
- 62.
- 63.
- 64.
- 65.
- 66.
- 67.
- 68.
- 69.
- 70.
- 71.
- 72.

See typed
form used for
jury pool
the State has

CAUSE NO. 800113

STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE 179TH DISTRICT

V.

COURT OF


CHARLES MAMOU, JR.

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

MOTION TO TRANSFER MOTION

COMES NOW, THE STATE OF TEXAS, by and through her Assistant District Attorney, Lyn McClellan, and moves the Court to transfer the subpoenas filed in Cause No. 800113, The State of Texas v. Charles Mamou, Jr. to Cause No. 800112, The State of Texas v. Charles Mamou, Jr.


In support of the Motion the State would show that some Subpoenas for the State of Texas v. Charles Mamou, Jr. Cause No. 800112 pending in the 179th District Court were filed under Cause No. 800113 also pending in the 179th District Court. The Defense has been provided with copies of all of the subpoenas that were filled in Cause No. 800112, and Cause No. 800113 through out the jury selection process and that they are aware of the persons being subpoenaed for the Capital Murder trial that is commencing October 4, 1999 in the 179th District Court.


LYN MCCLELLAN
ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY

ON THIS THE 4th DAY OF OCTOBER, 1999, THE ABOVE MOTION IN
LIMINE IS:

GRANTED

DENIED


JUDGE, 179TH DISTRICT COURT

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM.
This instrument is of poor quality
and not satisfactory for photographic
recording; and/or alterations were
present at the time of filing.

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE
District Clerk

OCT - 4 1999

Time: 11:13 AM
Harris County, Texas
By [Signature] Deputy

V2860 P0656

000071

CAUSE NO. 800113

STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE 179TH DISTRICT

V.

COURT OF


CHARLES MAMOU, JR.

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

MOTION TO TRANSFER MOTION

COMES NOW, THE STATE OF TEXAS, by and through her Assistant District Attorney, Lyn McClellan, and moves the Court to transfer the subpoenas filed in Cause No. 800113, The State of Texas v. Charles Mamou, Jr. to Cause No. 800112, The State of Texas v. Charles Mamou, Jr.

In support of the Motion the State would show that some Subpoenas for the State of Texas v. Charles Mamou, Jr. Cause No. 800112 pending in the 179th District Court were filed under Cause No. 800113 also pending in the 179th District Court. The Defense has been provided with copies of all of the subpoenas that were filled in Cause No. 800112, and Cause No. 800113 through out the jury selection process and that they are aware of the persons being subpoenaed for the Capital Murder trial that is commencing October 4, 1999 in the 179th District Court.



LYN MCCLELLAN

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY

ON THIS THE 4th DAY OF OCTOBER, 1999, THE ABOVE MOTION IN
LIMINE IS:

GRANTED

DENIED


JUDGE, 179TH DISTRICT COURT

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM.
This instrument is of poor quality
and not satisfactory for photographic
recording, and/or alterations were
present at the time of filming.

V2860 P0657

000072

800112

CAUSE NO. 800112

STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE 179TH DISTRICT

V.

COURT OF

CHARLES MAMOU, JR.

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

MOTION IN LIMINE

COMES NOW, THE STATE OF TEXAS, by and through her Assistant District Attorney, Lyn McClellan, and moves the Court to order the Defendant, through his attorney's, Wayne Hill and Kirt Wentz, to approach the bench before he or any witness mentions or alludes to, directly or indirectly, before the jury, any of the following matters:

1. Evidence of any prior criminal record, arrest, indictment, conviction, specific instances of bad conduct or evidence of a witness's bad character pursuant to Rules 404, 608 and 609.
2. Evidence of statements made by the defendant to any witness
3. Questions that illicit information that is not otherwise admissible i.e. asking where a photo used in a photo spread was obtained, when the answer would reveal a prior arrest in violation of Rule 404, 608, or 609.

In support of the Motion the State would show that the above items are not admissible unless the defense complies with the Texas Rules of Evidence. The State asks that the Defendant and his attorneys be prohibited from mentioning the above without first approaching the bench and having a hearing outside the presence of the jury to determine the admissibility.



LYN MCCLELLAN

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY

ON THIS 14th DAY OF OCTOBER, 1999, THE ABOVE MOTION IN
LIMINE IS:

GRANTED

DENIED


JUDGE, 179TH DISTRICT COURT

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM:
This instrument is of poor quality
and not satisfactory for photographic
recording; and/or alterations were
present at the time of filming.

V2860 P0668

000073

800112
800113

STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE 179TH DISTRICT COURT

V.

CHARLES MAMOU

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

MOTION IN LIMINE

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, Defendant, by and through the undersigned attorney and makes this Motion in Limine and in support thereof would show:

I.

Defendant is charged with the offense of capital murder.

II.

Defendant requests that the court order the attorneys for the State of Texas to not mention, inquire or otherwise allude to any of the following items, either individually or through any witness, whether in opening statement, testimony, sidebar or argument without first approaching the court for a ruling on the admissibility of such evidence/argument:

1. Any allegation of extraneous conduct/crimes or acts of misconduct by Defendant;
2. Any alleged statements made by Defendant while in the presence or custody of law enforcement officials;
3. Calling any witness who will attempt to recant his/her prior statement(s) to law enforcement officials for the purpose of attempting to confront or impeach the witness with such prior statement(s).

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant prays that the court grant this Motion in Limine and Order the State of Texas, its attorneys and all witnesses to not violate the terms of the Motion in Limine.

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM.
This instrument is of poor quality
and not satisfactory for photographic
recording; and/or alterations were
present at the time of filming.

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE
District Clerk

OCT 4 - 1999


Time: 10:20 AM
Harris County, Texas

By [Signature]
Deputy

V2860 P0661

000074

Respectfully submitted,



Wayne T. Hill
09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway 600
Houston, Texas 77027
(713) 623-8312

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT
CHARLES MAMOU

GRANTED
10-4-99

V2860 P0662

000075


800, 112
The State of Texas In the 179th
vs District Court for
Charles Monou Jr Harris County, TX

ORDER FOR

IT IS ORDERED that The Harris County
Sheriff provide the Defendant, or inmate
in the Harris County Jail, access to the
jail commissary when he returns from court
each evening during his trial

SIGNED this 7th day of October, 1999

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM.
This instrument is of poor quality
and not satisfactory for photographic
recording; and/or alterations were
present at the time of filming.


Judge Presiding

V2862 P0849


13-994

REQUEST OF THE JURY

The Day Against the Girding 179

Evidence of Map Schurman, 1862, p. 10
Oct. 12 777. A. A. Schurman, p. 10

BS 911/95 Lcs Cha-T

F I L E D
 CHARLES BACARISSE
 District Clerk
 12-1989
 974

OC1
Time: 11:00
Date: 11/11/11
Name: [illegible]
Signature: [illegible]
Title: [illegible]

11-10-68

1. The first step in the process is to identify the problem or issue that needs to be addressed. This involves gathering information and understanding the context of the problem.

[illegible]

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

100-443887-100

1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057	2058	2059	2060	2061	2062	2063	2064	2065	2066	2067	2068	2069	2070	2071	2072	2073	2074	2075	2076	2077	2078	2079	2080	2081	2082	2083	2084	2085	2086	2087	2088	2089	2090	2091	2092	2093	2094	2095	2096	2097	2098	2099	2100	2101	2102	2103	2104	2105	2106	2107	2108	2109	2110	2111	2112	2113	2114	2115	2116	2117	2118	2119	2120	2121	2122	2123	2124	2125	2126	2127	2128	2129	2130	2131	2132	2133	2134	2135	2136	2137	2138	2139	2140	2141	2142	2143	2144	2145	2146	2147	2148	2149	2150	2151	2152	2153	2154	2155	2156	2157	2158	2159	2160	2161	2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2173	2174	2175	2176	2177	2178	2179	2180	2181	2182	2183	2184	2185	2186	2187	2188	2189	2190	2191	2192	2193	2194	2195	2196	2197	2198	2199	2200	2201	2202	2203	2204	2205	2206	2207	2208	2209	2210	2211	2212	2213	2214	2215	2216	2217	2218	2219	2220	2221	2222	2223	2224	2225	2226	2227	2228	2229	2230	2231	2232	2233	2234	2235	2236	2237	2238	2239	2240	2241	2242	2243	2244	2245	2246	2247	2248	2249	2250	2251	2252	2253	2254	2255	2256	2257	2258	2259	2260	2261	2262	2263	2264	2265	2266	2267	2268	2269	2270	2271	2272	2273	2274	2275	2276	2277	2278	2279	2280	2281	2282	2283	2284	2285	2286	2287	2288	2289	2290	2291	2292	2293	2294	2295	2296	2297	2298	2299	2300	2301	2302	2303	2304	2305	2306	2307	2308	2309	2310	2311	2312	2313	2314	2315	2316	2317	2318	2319	2320	2321	2322	2323	2324	2325	2326	2327	2328	2329	2330	2331	2332	2333	2334	2335	2336	2337	2338	2339	2340	2341	2342	2343	2344	2345	2346	2347	2348	2349	2350	2351	2352	2353	2354	2355	2356	2357	2358	2359	2360	2361	2362	2363	2364	2365	2366	2367	2368	2369	2370	2371	2372	2373	2374	2375	2376	2377	2378	2379	2380	2381	2382	2383	2384	2385	2386	2387	2388	2389	2390	2391	2392	2393	2394	2395	2396	2397	2398	2399	2400</
------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	--------

SECRET

100

CHARGE OF THE COURT ON GUILT/ INNOCENCE/ VERDICT
FILED ON: OCTOBER 12, 1999

CAUSE NO. 800112

THE STATE OF TEXAS	§	IN THE 179TH DISTRICT COURT
VS.	§	OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
CHARLES MAMOU, JR.	§	AUGUST TERM, A. D., 1999

Members of the Jury:

The defendant, Charles Mamou, Jr., stands charged by indictment with the offense of capital murder, alleged to have been committed on or about the 7th day of December, 1998, in Harris County, Texas. The defendant has pleaded not guilty.

A person commits the offense of murder if he:

- (1) intentionally or knowingly causes the death of an individual; or
- (2) intends to cause serious bodily injury and intentionally or knowingly commits an act clearly dangerous to human life that causes the death of an individual.

A person commits the offense of capital murder if he commits murder, as hereinbefore defined in (1), and the person intentionally commits the murder in the course of committing or attempting to commit the offense of kidnapping.

"Attempt" to commit an offense occurs if, with specific intent to commit an offense, a person does an act amounting to more than mere preparation that tends, but fails, to effect the commission of the offense intended.

A person commits the offense of kidnapping if he intentionally or knowingly abducts another person.

A person commits the offense of aggravated kidnapping if he intentionally or knowingly abducts another person and uses or exhibits a deadly weapon during the commission of the offense.

The term "abduct" means to restrain a person with intent to prevent her liberation by:

- (1) secreting or holding her in a place where she is not likely to be found; or
- (2) using or threatening to use deadly force.

000078

The term "restrain" means to restrict a person's movements without consent, so as to interfere substantially with her liberty, by moving her from one place to another or by confining her.

Restraint is "without consent" if it is accomplished by force, intimidation, or deception.

"Consent" means assent in fact, whether express or apparent.

"Deadly force" means force that is intended or known by the person acting to cause, or in the manner of its use or intended use is capable of causing, death or serious bodily injury.

"Deadly weapon" means a firearm or anything manifestly designed, made, or adapted for the purpose of inflicting death or serious bodily injury; or anything that in the manner of its use or intended use is capable of causing death or serious bodily injury.

"Bodily injury" means physical pain, illness, or any impairment of physical condition.

"Serious bodily injury" means bodily injury that creates a substantial risk of death or that causes death, serious permanent disfigurement, or protracted loss or impairment of the function of any bodily member or organ.

The definition of intentionally relative to the offense of capital murder is as follows:

A person acts intentionally, or with intent, with respect to a result of his conduct when it is his conscious objective or desire to cause the result.

The definitions of intentionally and knowingly relative to the offense of murder are as follow:

A person acts intentionally, or with intent, with respect to a result of his conduct when it is his conscious objective or desire to cause the result.

A person acts knowingly, or with knowledge, with respect to a result of his conduct when he is aware that his conduct is reasonably certain to cause the result.

The definitions of intentionally or knowingly relative to the offense of kidnapping, attempted kidnapping and aggravated kidnapping are as follow:

A person acts intentionally, or with intent, with respect to the nature of his conduct or to a result of his conduct when it is his conscious objective or desire to engage in the conduct or cause the result.

A person acts knowingly, or with knowledge, with respect to the nature of his conduct or to circumstances surrounding his conduct when he is aware of the nature of his conduct or that the circumstances exist. A person acts knowingly, or with knowledge, with respect to a result of his conduct when he is aware that his conduct is reasonably certain to cause the result.

You are instructed that conduct of a person is justified if that person reasonably believes the conduct is immediately necessary to avoid imminent harm, and the desirability and urgency of avoiding the harm clearly outweigh, according to ordinary standards of reasonableness, the harm sought to be prevented by the law proscribing the conduct.

The term "conduct" means an act or omission and its accompanying mental state.

The term "reasonable belief" means a belief that would be held by an ordinary and prudent person in the same circumstances as the defendant.

By the term "ordinary standards of reasonableness" is meant the standards that an ordinary and prudent person would apply to the circumstances that the defendant faced.

Now, if you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on the occasion in question the defendant did abduct Mary Carmouche, but you further find from the evidence, or you have a reasonable doubt thereof, that at the time of such conduct by the defendant, if any, the defendant reasonably believed that such conduct on his part was immediately necessary to avoid imminent harm, and that the desirability and urgency of avoiding the harm clearly outweighed, according to ordinary standards of reasonableness, the harm sought to be prevented by the law proscribing the abduction of Mary Carmouche by the defendant, then you will acquit the defendant of the offenses of capital murder, aggravated kidnapping and kidnapping.

You are instructed that you may consider all relevant facts and circumstances surrounding the death, if any, and the previous relationship existing between the accused and the deceased, together with all relevant facts and circumstances going to show the condition of the mind of the accused at the time of the offense, if any.

Before you would be warranted in finding the defendant guilty of capital murder, you must find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt not only that on the occasion in question the defendant was in the course of committing or attempting to commit the felony offense of kidnapping of Mary Carmouche, as alleged in this charge, but also that the defendant specifically intended to cause the death of Mary Carmouche by shooting Mary Carmouche with a deadly weapon, namely, a firearm, and unless you so find, then you cannot convict the defendant of the offense of capital murder.

Now, if you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the 7th day of December, 1998, in Harris County, Texas, the defendant, Charles Mamou, Jr., did then and there unlawfully, while in the course of committing or attempting to commit the kidnapping of Mary Carmouche, intentionally cause the death of Mary Carmouche by shooting Mary Carmouche with a deadly weapon, namely, a firearm, then you will find the defendant guilty of capital murder, as charged in the indictment.

Unless you so find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt or if you have a reasonable doubt thereof, you will acquit the defendant of capital murder and next consider whether the defendant is guilty of murder.

Therefore, if you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the 7th day of December, 1998, in Harris County, Texas, the defendant, Charles Mamou, Jr., did then and there unlawfully, intentionally or knowingly cause the death of Mary Carmouche, by shooting Mary Carmouche with a deadly weapon, namely, a firearm; or

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the 7th day of December, 1998, in Harris County, Texas, the defendant, Charles Mamou, Jr., did then and there unlawfully

intend to cause serious bodily injury to Mary Carmouche, and did cause the death of Mary Carmouche by intentionally or knowingly committing an act clearly dangerous to human life, namely by shooting Mary Carmouche with a deadly weapon, namely, a firearm, then you will find the defendant guilty of murder.

Unless you so find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt or if you have a reasonable doubt thereof, you will acquit the defendant of murder and next consider whether the defendant is guilty of aggravated kidnapping.

Therefore, if you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the 7th day of December, 1998, in Harris County, Texas, the defendant, Charles Mamou, Jr., did then and there unlawfully, intentionally or knowingly abduct Mary Carmouche, without the consent of Mary Carmouche, with intent to prevent the liberation of Mary Carmouche by using or threatening to use deadly force, namely, the defendant did then and there use or exhibit a deadly weapon, namely, a firearm, then you will find the defendant guilty of aggravated kidnapping.

Unless you so find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt or if you have a reasonable doubt thereof you will acquit the defendant of aggravated kidnapping and next consider whether the defendant is guilty of kidnapping.

Therefore, if you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the 7th day of December, 1998, in Harris County, Texas, the defendant, Charles Mamou, Jr., did then and there unlawfully, intentionally or knowingly abduct Mary Carmouche without her consent, with intent to prevent her liberation by secreting or holding Mary Carmouche in a place where Mary Carmouche was not likely to be found, then you will find the defendant guilty of kidnapping.

It is an affirmative defense to prosecution that:

- (1) the abduction was not coupled with intent to use or to threaten to use deadly force;
- (2) the defendant was a relative of the person abducted; and
- (3) the defendant's sole intent was to assume lawful control of the victim.

"Relative" means a parent or stepparent, ancestor, sibling, or uncle or aunt, including an adoptive relative of the same degree through marriage or adoption.

Unless you so find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt or if you have a reasonable doubt thereof, you will acquit the defendant of kidnapping.

If you believe from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of either capital murder on the one hand or murder or aggravated kidnapping on the other hand, but you have a reasonable doubt as to which of said offenses he is guilty, then you must resolve that doubt in the defendant's favor and find him guilty of the lesser offense of either murder or aggravated kidnapping.

If you believe from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of either murder or aggravated kidnapping on the one hand or kidnapping on the other hand, but you have a reasonable doubt as to which of said offenses he is guilty, then you must resolve that doubt in the defendant's favor and find him guilty of the lesser offense of kidnapping.

If you have a reasonable doubt as to whether the defendant is guilty of any offense defined in this charge you will acquit the defendant and say by your verdict "Not Guilty."

The defendant in this case has urged the defense of alibi, that is, that if the offense was committed, as alleged, the defendant was, at the time of the commission thereof, at another and different place from that at which such offense was committed and therefore, was not and could not have been the person who committed the same. Now, if you have a reasonable doubt as to the presence of the defendant at the place where the offense was committed, if an offense was committed, at the time of the commission thereof, then you will find the defendant not guilty.

An accomplice, as the term is here used, means anyone connected with the crime charged, as a party thereto, and includes all persons who are connected with the crime by unlawful act or omission on their part transpiring either before, at the time of, or after the commission of the offense, and whether or not they were present and participated in the commission of the crime. A person is criminally responsible as a party to an offense if the offense is committed by his own conduct, by the conduct of another for which he is criminally responsible, or by both. Mere presence alone, however, will not constitute one a party to an offense.

A person is criminally responsible for an offense committed by the conduct of another if, acting with intent to promote or assist the commission of the offense, he solicits, encourages, directs, aids, or attempts to aid the other person to commit the offense. The term "conduct" means any act or omission and its accompanying mental state.

You are instructed that a conviction cannot be had upon the testimony of an accomplice unless the accomplice's testimony is corroborated by other evidence tending to connect the defendant with the offense charged, and the corroboration is not sufficient if it merely shows the commission of the offense, but it must tend to connect the defendant with its commission.

Therefore, if you believe from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that an offense was committed and you further believe from the evidence that the witness, Samuel Johnson, also known as Bug, was an accomplice, or you have a reasonable doubt whether he was or not, as that term is defined in the foregoing instructions, then you cannot convict the defendant upon the testimony of Samuel Johnson, also known as Bug, unless you further believe that there is other evidence in the case, outside of the testimony of Samuel Johnson, also known as Bug, tending to connect the defendant with the offense charged in the indictment, and then from all the evidence you must believe beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty.

You are further instructed that any evidence that any witness has committed any crime was admitted before you for the purpose of aiding you, if it does aid you, in passing upon the credibility of the witness and the weight to be given his testimony, and you will not consider the same for any other purpose.

A Grand Jury indictment is the means whereby a defendant is brought to trial in a felony prosecution. It is not evidence of guilt nor can it be considered by you in passing upon the question of guilt of the defendant. The burden of proof in all criminal cases rests upon the State throughout the trial and never shifts to the defendant.

All persons are presumed to be innocent and no person may be convicted of an offense unless each element of the offense is proved beyond a reasonable doubt. The fact that he has been arrested, confined, or indicted for, or otherwise charged with the offense gives rise to no inference of guilt at his trial. The law does not require a defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all. The presumption of innocence alone is sufficient to acquit the defendant, unless the jurors are satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt of the defendant's guilt after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case.

The prosecution has the burden of proving the defendant guilty and it must do so by proving each and every element of the offense charged beyond a reasonable doubt and if it fails to do so, you must acquit the defendant.

It is not required that the prosecution prove guilt beyond all possible doubt; it is required that the prosecution's proof excludes all "reasonable doubt" concerning the defendant's guilt.

A "reasonable doubt" is a doubt based on reason and common sense after a careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. It is the kind of doubt that would make a reasonable person hesitate to act in the most important of his own affairs.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, must be proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important of your own affairs.

In the event you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt after considering all the evidence before you, and these instructions, you will acquit him and say by your verdict "Not Guilty."

You are the exclusive judges of the facts proved, of the credibility of the witnesses and the weight to be given their testimony but the law you shall receive in these written instructions, and you must be governed thereby.

After you retire to the jury room, you should select one of your members as your Foreman. It is his or her duty to preside at your deliberations, vote with you, and when you have unanimously agreed upon a verdict, to certify to your verdict by using the appropriate form attached hereto and signing the same as Foreman.

During your deliberations in this case, you must not consider, discuss, nor relate any matters not in evidence before you. You should not consider nor mention any personal knowledge or information you may have about any fact or person connected with this case which is not shown by the evidence.


No one has any authority to communicate with you except the officer who has you in charge. After you have retired, you may communicate with this Court in writing through this officer. Any communication relative to the cause must be written, prepared and signed by the Foreman and shall be submitted to the court through this officer. Do not attempt to talk to the officer who has you in charge, or the attorneys, or the Court, or anyone else concerning any questions you may have.

Your sole duty at this time is to determine whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty under the indictment in this cause and restrict your deliberations solely to that issue.

Following the arguments of counsel, you will retire to consider your verdict.

READ TO JURY BY:

for



J. Michael Wilkinson, Judge
179th District Court
Harris County, TEXAS

APPROVED BY:



FILED
CHARLES B. CARP

OCT 12 1999

Time: 12:00
Hear: Court
By: 
12

000089

CAUSE NO. 800112

THE STATE OF TEXAS § IN THE 179TH DISTRICT COURT
VS. § OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
CHARLES MAMOU, JR. § AUGUST TERM, A. D., 1999

CHOOSE ONE

"We, the Jury, find the defendant, Charles Mamou, Jr., guilty of capital murder, as charged in the indictment."

FILED
CHARLES MACARISSE
CLERK OF COURT

OCT 12 1999

Witness:
Harris County, Texas
By _____ Deputy

Greg Adams
Foreman of the Jury

(Please Print) Foreman

"We, the Jury, find the defendant, Charles Mamou, Jr., guilty of murder."

Foreman of the Jury

(Please Print) Foreman

"We, the Jury, find the defendant, Charles Mamou, Jr., guilty of aggravated kidnapping."

Foreman of the Jury

(Please Print) Foreman

000050

"We, the Jury, find the defendant, Charles Mamou, Jr., guilty of kidnapping."

Foreman of the Jury

(Please Print) Foreman

"We, the Jury, find the defendant, Charles Mamou, Jr., not guilty."

Foreman of the Jury

(Please Print) Foreman

000091

Requested Jury INSTRUCTION
 STATE OF TEXAS v. CHARLES MAMOU, JR.
 179th District Court - Case # 800112

You may consider evidence of an extraneous crime or bad act in assessing punishment even if the defendant has not yet been charged with or finally convicted of the crime or act. However, you may consider such evidence only if the extraneous crime or bad act has been shown by the State beyond a reasonable doubt to have been committed by the defendant or is one for which the defendant could be held criminally responsible.

A "reasonable doubt" is a doubt based on reason and common sense after a careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. It is the kind of doubt that would make a reasonable person hesitate to act in the most important of his own affairs. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, must be proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important of your own affairs.

Therefore, if you find and believe beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant committed an extraneous crime or bad act or could be held criminally responsible for an extraneous crime or bad act, then you may consider such evidence in assessing the defendant's punishment. However, if you have a reasonable doubt that the defendant committed an extraneous crime or bad act or could be held criminally responsible for an extraneous crime or bad act, then you may not consider such evidence in assessing punishment.

FILED
 CHARLES BACARISSE
 OCT 15 1999

Time: 10:55 AM
 Harris County, TX
 By: [Signature]
 Deputy

Respectfully submitted,

Wayne T. Hill
 Wayne T. Hill
 4615 S.W. Fwy. 600
 Houston, TX. 77027

ORDER

The above Requested Jury Instruction
 is ~~GRANTED~~ DENIED this 15th day of October, 1999.

[Signature]
 Judge Presiding

000092

REQUEST OF THE JURY

1796-

800/12

Charles Monahan Jr.

The Jury request to see the special
issue posted

FILED
CHARLES PACARISSE
District Clerk

OCT 15 1999

Time: 12:17 PM
Harris County, Texas
By: [Signature]
Deputy

Jury Foreman

[Signature]

000093

REQUEST OF THE JURY

Judge

179
800/12
Charles Mamaw

The jury would like to know if a decision
could be made on issue number two, what

Jury Foreman

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE
Deputy Clerk

OCT 15 1999

Time: 2:00 PM
Harris County, Texas

By: [Signature]

[Signature: Mary Adams]

Please refer to the charge and continue deliberating.

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE

OCT 15 1999

4:05 PM
CBA

000094

CHARGE OF THE COURT ON THE ASSESSMENT OF PUNISHMENT / VERDICT
FILED ON: OCTOBER 15, 1999

CAUSE NO. 800112

THE STATE OF TEXAS	§	IN THE 179TH DISTRICT COURT
VS.	§	OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
CHARLES MAMOU, JR.	§	AUGUST TERM, A. D., 1999

Members of the Jury:

By your verdict returned in this case you have found the defendant, Charles Mamou, Jr., guilty of the offense of capital murder, which was alleged to have been committed on or about the 7th day of December, 1998, in Harris County, Texas. In order for the Court to assess the proper punishment, it is necessary now for you to determine, from all the evidence in the case, the answers to certain questions, called "Special Issues," in this charge. The Court instructs you in answering these "Special Issues" as follows:

The mandatory punishment for the offense of capital murder of which you have found the defendant guilty is death or confinement in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Institutional Division, for life.

In determining your answers to the questions, or special issues, submitted to you, you shall consider all the evidence submitted to you in this whole trial, which includes that phase of the trial wherein you were called upon to determine the guilt or innocence of the defendant, and this punishment phase of the trial wherein you are now called upon to determine the answers to Special Issues submitted to you by the Court.

You shall consider all evidence submitted to you during the whole trial as to the defendant's background or character or the circumstances of the offense that militates for or mitigates against the imposition of the death penalty.

000095

The State must prove Special Issue No. 1 submitted to you beyond a reasonable doubt, and you shall return a Special Verdict of "YES" or "NO" on Special Issue No. 1.

In deliberating on Special Issue No. 1 you shall consider all the evidence admitted at the guilt or innocence stage and the punishment stage of trial, including evidence of the defendant's background, character, record, or the circumstances of the offense that militates for or mitigates against the imposition of the death penalty.

You may not answer Special Issue No. 1 "YES" unless you agree unanimously.

You may not answer Special Issue No. 1 "NO" unless ten (10) or more jurors agree.

Members of the jury need not agree on what particular evidence supports a negative answer to Special Issue No. 1.

You are further instructed that you are not to be swayed by mere sentiment, conjecture, sympathy, passion, prejudice, public opinion or public feeling in considering all of the evidence before you and in answering the Special Issue No. 1.

It is not required that the State prove Special Issue No. 1 beyond all possible doubt; it is required that the State's proof excludes all "reasonable doubt" concerning the defendant.

A "reasonable doubt" is a doubt based on reason and common sense after a careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. It is the kind of doubt that would make a reasonable person hesitate to act in the most important of his own affairs.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, must be proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important of your own affairs.

You are instructed that if you return an affirmative finding, that is a "YES" answer, to Special Issue No. 1, and only then, are you to answer Special Issue No. 2.

You are instructed that in answering special Issue No. 2, you shall answer the issue "YES" or "NO."

You may not answer Special issue No. 2 "NO" unless you agree unanimously, and you may not answer Special Issue No. 2 "YES" unless ten (10) or more of you agree to do so.

You need not agree on what particular evidence supports an affirmative finding on Special Issue No. 2.

In answering Special Issue No. 2 you shall consider mitigating evidence to be evidence that a juror might regard as reducing the defendant's moral blameworthiness, including evidence of the defendant's background, character, record, or the circumstances of the offense that mitigates against the imposition of the death penalty.

You are again instructed that you are not to be swayed by mere sentiment, conjecture, sympathy, passion, prejudice, public opinion or public feeling in considering all of the evidence before you in answering Special Issue No. 2.

Under the law applicable in this case, if the defendant is sentenced to a term of imprisonment in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for life, he will not become eligible for parole until the actual calendar time served equals forty (40) calendar years, without consideration of good conduct time. Eligibility for parole does not guarantee that parole will be granted.

It cannot accurately be predicted how the parole law and good conduct time might be applied to this defendant if he is sentenced to a term of imprisonment for life, because the application of these laws will depend on decisions made by prison and parole authorities.

You may consider the existence of the parole law. You are not to consider the manner in which the parole law may be applied to this particular defendant.


You are instructed that the defendant may testify in his own behalf if he chooses to do so, but if he elects not to do so, that fact cannot be taken by you as a circumstance against him nor prejudice him in any way. The defendant has elected not to testify in this punishment phase of trial, and you are instructed that you cannot and must not refer to or allude to that fact throughout your deliberations or take it into consideration for any purpose whatsoever.

During your deliberations upon the "Special Issues," you must not consider, discuss, nor relate any matters not in evidence before you. You should not consider nor mention any personal knowledge or information you may have about any fact or person connected with this case which is not shown by the evidence.

In arriving at the answers to the "Special Issues" submitted, it will not be proper for you to fix the same by lot, chance, or any other method than by a full, fair and free exchange of the opinion of each individual juror.

After the reading of this charge, you shall not be permitted to separate from each other, nor shall you talk with anyone not of your jury. After argument of counsel, you will retire and consider your answers to the "Special Issues" submitted to you. It is the duty of your Foreman to preside in the jury room and vote with you on the answers to the "Special Issues" submitted.

You are the exclusive judges of the facts proved and the credibility of the witnesses and the weight to be given to their testimony, but you are bound to receive the law from the Court which has been given you and you are bound thereby.


J. Michael Wilkinson, Judge
179th District Court
Harris County, TEXAS

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSSE
District Clerk

OCT 15 1999

Time: 11:05 AM
Harris County, Texas

By:  Deputy

SPECIAL ISSUE NO. 1

Do you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that there is a probability that the defendant, Charles Mamou, Jr., would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society?

ANSWER

We, the jury, unanimously find and determine beyond a reasonable doubt that the answer to this Special Issue is YES.

FILED
CHARLES MAMOU, JR.

OCT 15 1999
4:55 PM
T. Harris
By [Signature] Deputy

[Signature]
Foreman of the Jury

OR

We, the jury, because at least ten (10) jurors have a reasonable doubt as to the probability that the defendant, Charles Mamou, Jr., would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society, determine that the answer to this Special Issue is "NO."

Foreman of the Jury

In the event that the jury has answered Special Issue No. 1 in the affirmative, and only then, shall the jury answer Special Issue No. 2 to be found on the following page.

000101

SPECIAL ISSUE NO. 2

Do you find from the evidence, taking into consideration all of the evidence, including the circumstances of the offense, the defendant's character and background, and the personal moral culpability of the defendant, Charles Mamou, Jr., that there is a sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment rather than a death sentence be imposed?

ANSWER

We, the jury, unanimously find that the answer to this Special Issue is "NO."

FILED
OCT 15 1999
4:55 PM
By [Signature] Deputy
Foreman of the Jury
OR

We, the jury, because at least ten (10) jurors find that there is a sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment rather than a death sentence be imposed, find that the answer to this Special Issue is "YES."


Foreman of the Jury


After the jury has answered each of the Special Issues under the conditions and instructions outlined above, the Foreman should sign the verdict form to be found on the last page of this charge.

000102

VERDICT

We, the Jury, return in open court the above answers to the "Special Issues" submitted to us, and the same is our verdict in this case.


Foreman of the Jury

FILED
CHARLES H. HESSE
OCT 15 1999
Time: 4:55 PM
Harris County
By:  Deputy

000103

CAUSE NO. 800112

THE STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE 179th DISTRICT COURT

VS.

OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

Charles Mamau Jr.**OATH OF INDIGENCE UNDER ARTICLE 11.071 (2) (b), CCP
AND FINDING OF FACT**

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COMES the above named Defendant in the above styled and numbered cause, having been convicted of capital murder and sentenced to death therefor, and represents to the Court that s/he is indigent and desires that counsel be appointed to represent him/her for the purpose of a writ of habeas corpus under Article 11.071. Defendant asks the Court to make findings that s/he is indigent and desires appointment of counsel for the purpose of a writ of habeas corpus.

X Charles Mamau
DEFENDANT

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me, this _____ day of OCT 15 1999

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM.
This instrument is of poor quality
and not satisfactory for photographic
recording; and/or alterations were
present at the time of filming.

Deborah
DEPUTY DISTRICT CLERK
179th JUDICIAL DISTRICT
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

FINDINGS

Came on to be considered the oath of indigence filed by the Defendant in the captioned cause. After considering the Defendant's oath and other evidence, if any, presented, the Court **FINDS**, as required by Article 11.071 (2) (b), C.C.P., that the Defendant is indigent and that the Defendant desires the appointment of counsel for the purpose of a writ of habeas corpus.

The Court **ORDERS** that the District Clerk immediately forward the following to the Court of Criminal Appeals:

- (1) A copy of the judgment for the above styled and numbered cause.
- (2) A list containing the name, address and telephone number of each counsel of record for the defendant at trial and on direct appeal.

The court **FURTHER ORDERS** that the District Clerk send a copy of the instant Findings and Order to (a) the defendant's counsel of record at trial and on direct appeal; and (b) Harris County District Attorney's Office, Appellate Bureau, Post Conviction Writ Section.

SIGNED the _____ day of OCT 15 1999

13993AN
[Signature]
DISTRICT JUDGE
179th JUDICIAL DISTRICT
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

V2871 P0066

NO. 800112

THE STATE OF TEXAS
VS. CHARLES MAMOU, JR.

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM.

This instrument is of poor quality
and not satisfactory for photographic
recording; and/or alterations were
present at the time of filing.

IN THE 179TH DISTRICT

COURT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

Change of Venue From:

JUDGMENT - DEATH PENALTY

Judge Presiding: M WILKINSON

Date of Judgment: OCTOBER 12, 1999

Attorney CLARE CONNORS &
for State: LYNN MCCLELLAN

Attorney WAYNE HILL &
for Defendant: KURT WENTZ

Offense CAPITAL MURDER AS CHARGED IN THE INDICTMENT
Convicted of:

Degree: CAPITAL Punishment Assessed: DEATH Date Offense Committed: DECEMBER 7, 1998
Charging Instrument: Indictment Plea: Not Guilty

Affirmative Findings: (Circle appropriate selection - N/A not available or not applicable)
DEADLY WEAPON: Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A FAMILY VIOLENCE: Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A HATE CRIME: Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A

The Defendant having been indicted in the above entitled and numbered cause for the felony offense indicated above and this cause being this day called for trial, the State appeared by her District Attorney as named above and the Defendant named above appeared in person with Counsel as named above, and both parties announced ready for trial.

A Jury composed of GREGORY DON ADAMS and eleven others was selected, impanelled, and sworn. The indictment was read to the Jury, and the Defendant entered a plea of not guilty thereto, after having heard the evidence submitted; and having been charged by the Court as to their duty to determine the guilt or innocence of the Defendant and having heard argument of counsels, the Jury retired in charge of the proper officer and returned into open Court on OCTOBER 12, 1999, 1999, the following verdict, which was received by the Court and is here entered on record upon the minutes:

"WE THE JURY, FIND THE DEFENDANT, CHARLES MAMOU, JR.
GUILTY OF CAPITAL MURDER, AS CHARGED IN THE INDICTMENT."

Thereupon, the Jury, in accordance with law, heard further evidence in consideration of punishment, and having been again charged by the Court, the jury retired in charge of the proper officer in consideration of punishment and returned into open Court on the 15TH day of OCTOBER, 1999, the following verdict, which was received by the Court and is here entered of record upon the minutes:

(Special Issues/Verdict/Certification):

SPECIAL ISSUE NO.1

DO YOU FIND FROM THE EVIDENCE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT THERE IS A PROBABILITY THAT THE DEFENDANT, CHARLES MAMOU, JR., WOULD COMMIT CRIMINAL ACTS OF VIOLENCE THAT WOULD CONSTITUTE A CONTINUING THREAT TO SOCIETY?

ANSWER

WE, THE JURY, UNANIMOUSLY FIND AND DETERMINE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT THE ANSWER TO THIS SPECIAL ISSUE IS "YES."

s/s GREGORY DON ADAMS
FOREMAN OF THE JURY

(Special Issues - Continued):

SPECIAL ISSUE NO.2

DO YOU FIND FROM THE EVIDENCE, TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION ALL OF THE EVIDENCE, INCLUDING THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE OFFENCE, THE DEFENDANT'S CHARACTER AND BACKGROUND, AND THE PERSONAL MORAL CULPABILITY OF THE DEFENDANT, ~~CHARLES~~ MAMOU, JR., THAT THERE IS A SUFFICIENT MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCE OR CIRCUMSTANCES TO WARRANT THAT A SENCE OF LIFE IMPRISONMENT RATHER THAN A DEATH SENTENCE BE IMPOSED?

ANSWER

WE, THE JURY, UNANIMOUSLY FIND THAT THE ANSWER TO THIS SPECIAL ISSUE IS "NO."

s/s GREGORY DON ADAMSVERDICT FOREMAN OF THE JURY

"WE, THE JURY, RETURN IN OPEN COURT THE ABOVE ANSWERS TO THE "SPECIAL ISSUES" SUBMITTED TO US, AND THE SAME IS OUR VERDICT IN THIS CASE .

s/s GREGORY DON ADAMS
FOREMAN OF THE JURY

It is therefore considered, ordered, and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant is guilty of the offense indicated above, a felony, as found by the verdict of the jury, and that the said Defendant committed the said offense on the date indicated above, and that he be punished as has been determined by the Jury, by death, and that Defendant be remanded to jail to await further orders of this court.

And thereupon, the said Defendant was asked by the Court whether he had anything to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him, and he answered nothing in bar thereof.

Whereupon the Court proceeded, in presence of said Defendant to pronounce sentence against him as follows, to wit, "It is the order of the Court that the Defendant named above, who has been adjudged to be guilty of the offense indicated above and whose punishment has been assessed by the verdict of the jury and the judgment of the Court at Death, shall be delivered by the Sheriff of Harris County, Texas immediately to the Director of the Institutional Division, Texas Department of Criminal Justice or any other person legally authorized to receive such convicts, and said Defendant shall be confined in said Institutional Division in accordance with the provisions of the law governing the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Institutional Division until a date of execution of the said Defendant is imposed by this Court after receipt in this Court of mandate of affirmance from the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

The said Defendant is remanded to jail until said Sheriff can obey the directions of this sentence. From which sentence an appeal is taken as a matter of law to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Signed and entered on this the _____ day of OCT 15 1999, 19____.

10/18/1999
Motion not submitted

A. Robles

[Signature]
JUDGE 179th DISTRICT COURT
Harris County, Texas

6890d 1182A

000106

RECORDED: VOLUME 2871 PAGE 0689 COMBINED
MINUTES OF THE DISTRICT COURTS OF HARRIS
COUNTY, TEXAS.

000107

PAUPER'S OATH ON APPEAL

CAUSE NO.: 800 112OFFENSE: Capital murder

THE STATE OF TEXAS

179 DISTRICT COURT

VS.

OF

Charles Mamou, Jr.

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COMES Charles Mamou, Jr., Defendant in the above styled and numbered cause, and respectfully petitions the Court to appoint counsel to represent him in said felony cause and would show to the Court that he is too poor to employ counsel, on appeal.

Defendant further states under oath that defendant is without funds, property or income; that because of his poverty he is unable to pay for a transcript of the evidence which is necessary to be filed with the court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas;

WHEREFORE, he prays that the Court appoint counsel to represent him on appeal and that the Court direct the Court Reporter to prepare a statement of facts, as provided by law, in question and answer form, for use on appeal.

Charles Mamou
DEFENDANT

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me, this 15 day of October,
A.D. 1999.

CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

OCT 18 1999

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
by _____

Alphus
DEPUTY DISTRICT CLERK
179 DISTRICT COURT
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

V2916 P0703

ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL ON APPEAL

On this the 18 day of OCT, A.D., 1999, it appearing to the Court that the above named defendant has executed an affidavit stating that he is without counsel and is too poor to employ counsel, it is ordered that the attorney listed below is appointed to represent the above named defendant in said cause, on appeal.

FLORA FALLO
ATTORNEY

2301 Fm 1960 W
ADDRESS

Houston TX 77068
CITY STATE ZIP

281 444 0811
PHONE

07413500
Bar Number

CAA
CA 13-992
C

ORDER TO PREPARE STATEMENT OF FACTS ON APPEAL

This the 18 day of OCT, A.D., 1999, after hearing testimony on the above affidavit and it appearing that the defendant is entitled to the relief prayed for, it is ORDERED that the Court Reporter of this Court prepare a statement of facts, in question and answer form of the testimony in said cause. It is further ORDERED that the clerk of this Court mail a copy of the Order to the Court Reporter: Jim Knobloch, by certified mail return receipt requested.

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM.
This instrument is of poor quality
and not satisfactory for photographic
recording; and/or alterations were
present at the time of filing.

Alphus
JUDGE PRESIDING
179 DISTRICT COURT
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

DISTRICT CLERK

000108

800112

STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

VS.

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

CHARLES MAMOU, JR.

179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, Defendant, by and through the undersigned attorney and makes this Motion for New Trial and in support thereof would show:

I.

Defendant was convicted of the offense of capital murder and sentenced to death.

II.

The evidence was legally insufficient to support of the jury verdict of guilt.

III.

The evidence was factually insufficient to support the jury verdict of guilt.

IV.

The trial court erred in refusing to submit the lesser-included charge of false imprisonment to the jury during the guilt stage of the trial.

V.

The trial court erred in submitting an accomplice as a matter of fact charge regarding witness Samuel "Bug" Johnson to the jury. The court further erred

000109

when it refused to charge the jury that Samuel "Bug" Johnson was an accomplice as a matter of law.

VI.

The trial court erred when it failed to submit an accomplice as a matter of law charge regarding state's witnesses Dion Holly and Kevin Walter.

VII.

The trial court erred when it allowed the state to produce evidence of an extraneous offense (murder) without first proffering evidence outside the presence of the jury to determine if the state could produce evidence beyond a reasonable doubt.

VIII.

The trial court erred when it refused to give a jury instruction regarding the extraneous offense and the state's burden of proof concerning same.

IX.

The trial court erred when it refused to submit a self-defense charge to the jury at the punishment phase of the trial.

X.

The trial court erred when it refused to submit a charge on alibi during the punishment phase of the trial.

XI.

The trial court erred when it refused to submit requested mitigation charges


investigators prior to trial fearing that they would be prosecuted for this offense. As a result, a material defense witness has been kept from court by force, threats or fraud and evidence of defendant's innocence has been withheld by witnesses thus preventing its production at trial. TRAP - 21.3 (e).


XIX.

The verdict is contrary to the law and the evidence. TRAP - 21.3 (h).

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant prays that the court enter an order granting a new trial in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,


WAYNE T. HILL
09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway 600
Houston, Texas 77027
(713) 623-8312

FILED
CHARLES BACARISSE
CLERK
OCT 16 1999
Time: 12:29
By:  Deputy

ORDER

Defendant's Motion for New Trial is (GRANTED)(DENIED).
SIGNED AND ENTERED this ___ day of ___, 1999.

J. Michael Wilkinson
Judge Presiding
179th District Court
Harris County, Texas

000111

800112

STATE OF TEXAS

VS.

CHARLES MAMOU, JR.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

MOTION TO WITHDRAW

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, the undersigned attorney and makes this Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record, and in support thereof would show:

I.

A conflict has arisen between counsel and defendant which indicates that the court should permit the undersigned to withdraw and appoint new counsel to file an amended Motion for New Trial within the thirty day period permitted for the filing of a Motion for New Trial.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the undersigned prays that the court grant this Motion to Withdraw.

Respectfully submitted,



Wayne T. Hill
09656300
4615 Southwest Freeway 600
Houston, Texas 77027
(713) 623-8312

FILED
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
OCT 28 1999
11:35
By [Signature]


000112

ORDER

The foregoing Motion to Withdraw is hereby GRANTED (DENIED).

Wayne T. Hill is hereby removed as appointed counsel for Charles Mamou, Jr.,

The court hereby appoints Floyd Freed to serve as
counsel for defendant during the Motion for New Trial stage of this case.


JUDGE PRESIDING
179TH DISTRICT COURT
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

13-9917

CAUSE NO. 800,112

THE STATE OF TEXAS § IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
VS. § HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
CHARLES MAMOU, JR. § 179th DISTRICT COURT

14-978

MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY OF RECORD

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW KURT B. WENTZ, the undersigned attorney for the Defendant, CHARLES MAMOU, JR., and files this Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record and in support thereof would show this Court as follows:

I.

The undersigned attorney was appointed to represent the Defendant in the above entitled and number cause wherein the Defendant was charged with Capital Murder.

II.

This cause has now been tried and the Defendant has been found guilty and sentenced to receive the death penalty.

A Motion for New Trial has been filed on the behalf of the Defendant.

The Defendant has given notice of appeal and an attorney has been appointed to represent the Defendant in that appeal.

III.

After the Defendant was found guilty, he advised lead counsel, Wayne T. Hill, that he was

unsatisfied with his representation at trial.

This court has granted Mr. Hill's Motion to Withdraw.

The undersigned attorney asks to be allowed to withdraw because of the same apparent conflict.


IV.

The Defendant has counsel on appeal. Appellant counsel, Floyd Freed, is aware of the motion for new trial and has consulted with both Mr. Hill and Mr. Wentz.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Defendant requests that the Court set this motion for hearing and that upon such hearing grant this motion in all things.

Respectfully submitted,

NOV - 8 1999
16-SP
8


KURT B. WENTZ
5629 FM 1960 West
Suite 115
Houston, Texas 77069
Phone: 281-587-0088
TBA: 21179300
ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kurt B. Wentz, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion was personally served on the assistant District Attorney for Harris County, Texas presently handling this Cause on the 5 day of Nov, 1999.


KURT B. WENTZ

CAUSE NO. 800,112

THE STATE OF TEXAS	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
	§	
VS.	§	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
CHARLES MAMOU, JR.	§	179 th DISTRICT COURT


ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record be ~~GRANTED/DENIED~~
as to Kurt B. Wentz.

SIGNED this 12th day of Nov, 1999.


JUDGE PRESIDING

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM.
This instrument is of poor quality
and not satisfactory for photographic
recording; and/or alterations were
present at the time of filming.



V2898 P0057

GENERAL ORDERS OF THE COURT
JUL 21 1999

PERSON WITH
7-27-99 *Wagner Hill* RLS 8-11-99 *Wagner Hill*
admitted with *Wagner Hill* and *Wagner Hill*
AUG 11 1999 RLS 9-7-99

SEP 1 - 1999

Wagner Hill RLS 9-7-99
Wagner Hill RLS 9-7-99

SEP 07 1999 The Defendant Appeared

Lynn McCallan Person With Counsel *Wagner Hill & Kurt Wengert*
Appeared For The State

Court Reporter: *Pam Kunkel*
Judge Presiding: *Bar Barlett*

The state arranged the def outside the presence of a jury. The
def pled not guilty. Motions were heard & ruled on at 9:50A.
Court resumed until Wednesday, September 8, 1999, at 10:00A

NO. 800112

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS.

Charles Mamey, Jr.

OFFENSE:

ATTORNEY FOR STATE:

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION: AMOUNT OF BOND

S.F. NO.

BONDSMAN

SETTING:

OTHER INFORMATION:

FILED

179th

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

SEP 08 1999

~~THE DEFENDANT~~ MAAMEYBy Person With Counsel Wayne Hill & Kurt Wenz
Claire Coates Appeared For The State.

Court Reporter:

Pam Knobloch

Judge Presiding:

Bob Bortolte

At 10:05 AM a panel of (30) thirty prospective jurors were seated, welcomed, and admonished according to the law and ended @ 11:00 AM. Both sides agreed to excuse the following jurors: #1, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 20, 25, 26, 29. The remaining panel was excused to return at a later date. Court recessed until 8:30 AM to morrow.

000119

C3308 ID PAGE 1

GENERAL ORDERS OF THE COURT

SEP 09 1999

The Defendant, Manuel AppearIn Person With Counsel Manuel HillJohn McCall appeared for the State.

Clair's 6/19/99 Wendy Wilkerson Court Reporter

Judge Presiding: Hon. Bob Burdette

and ends at 9:29 AM. Juror #8 and #9 were challenged by the defense for cause and granted.

Juror #2, George Pena, was seated at 9:35 AM. State began voir dire at 9:37 AM. Defense began voir

dire at 10:01 AM. At 10:21 Juror #2 was accepted into the jury pool. Juror #4, Joyce Scott was

seated at 10:20 AM. At 10:24 AM Juror #4 was excused for cause. At 10:25 AM Juror #6, Frances Hasbuen,

was seated. State began voir dire at 10:28 AM. Defense began voir dire at 10:45 AM. At 11:02 AM Juror #6

was accepted into the jury pool. At 11:16 AM Juror #10, Alejandra Amie, was seated. State began voir

dire at 11:19 AM. Defense began voir dire at 11:43 AM. At 12:00 PM Juror #10 was accepted into the

jury pool. At 12:03 PM Juror #18, Jerry Timmerman, was seated. State began voir dire at 12:06 PM.

Defense began at 12:25 PM. Ended at 12:31 PM. Juror #18 was accepted

into the jury pool. Juror #19, Ramie Colleen Cook, was seated

+ admonished at 12:35 PM. State + Defense agreed to excuse juror #19

Juror #21, Stacie Marie Sibly, was seated + admonished at 12:45 PM. State

began at 12:48 PM. Defense began 1:05 PM. At 1:23 PM Juror #21 was accepted into

000120

NO. 80012Charles Mann, Jr

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS.

OFFENSE:

Capital MurderShirley McCallister

ATTORNEY FOR STATE:

Wayne Hill
W. Hill

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION: AMOUNT OF BOND

S.F. NO.

BONDSMAN

SETTINGS:

OTHER INFORMATION:

CJ308 ID PAGE 1

FILED

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

the Jury pool. Court recessed at 1:27 PM.

SEP 10 1999

the DefendantWayne Hill

In Person With Counsel

Shirley McCallisterShirley McCallisterappeared for the State.

Court Reporter:

Mendy Wilkerson

Judge Presiding:

Butterfield

By agreement of both sides, jurors #24 and #30 were excused. The juror panel was seated at 8:35 AM. Ended at 9:20 AM. The panel was excused and into the hallway. Juror #15, Kelly Panel Trainer was seated & admonished at 9:23 AM. State began voir dire at 9:25 AM. Voir dire began at 9:40 AM. Ended at 9:48 AM. Both sides agreed to excuse juror #15. Juror #22, Henry Spence, was seated.

000124

NO. 800112

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS.

Charles Thomas Jr.

OFFENSE:

ATTORNEY FOR STATE:

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION: AMOUNT OF BOND

S.F. NO.

BONDSMAN

SETTINGS:

OTHER INFORMATION:

CJ308 D PAGE 1

FILED

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

1794

000123

*Quora # 33, 36, 37, 38, 40, 42, 43, 44/
 one to return at 8:30 AM on Tuesday
 9/14/99

*Quora # 48, 49, 50, 52, 53, 56, 62, 63
 one to return at 8:30 AM on Wednesday
 9/15/99

*Quora # 65, 66, 70, 72, 74, 77, 78, 91
 one to return at 8:30 AM on Thursday
 9/16/99

*Quora # 83, 84, 91, 88 one to return
 at 8:30 AM on Friday 9/17/99

SEP 14 1999
 The Defendant

In Person With Counsel Wendell J. Kuntz
 7000 The University of Texas at Austin
 Court Reporter: from Kuntz

Judge Residing: Bob Brundage
 at 8:45 AM all parties appeared

GENERAL ORDERS OF THE COURT.

Exceeding the 8 hours for the day. Can't do much better

GENERAL ORDER OF THE COURT

The juror, in the land, at 9:30 AM concluded. 884 9:30 AM concluded

1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
16

Washed her legs with juice #33. Also undressed at 8:10 am. At bedtime gave

#33 *Pygmy Cuckoo* was entered in the *Pygmy Owl* Division

buy the quantity of material on 9/29/09. at 12:17pm. Must not leave

Quar # 36 Haddone M. Stephens Individual User License with St. Andrews

At present as the French Government has not yet decided on a solution to the problem.

Went out 10:20 am & concluded at 10:35 am. At which time Alderson was discharged & imprisoned.

A 1057 pm at which time: given #36 K Octonapene. It appears in vac. contained with the

Young Post of was administered by the Coast of the United States on 9/29/1900.

Guest had a S&W break at 1105Am Guest is charged from 437 Clementt Road

Only if individual men like Cooper, Grant Adams and the late Henry Condit

At about the same time I conducted at 11:45 am. No more

A message for Louise was presented by letter # 37 Monetta Landry was a excited person

1. *in the evening* at 11:45 PM. *Caesalpinia* *maria* *maria*

Individuals began just around the year with the first conduct a

11-51 km. Off white line. Hates mice & lugs. P. concluded at 7:07 PM

at which time Palmer's illness began - I observed at 1:33 PM, at which time

000124

NO.

460112

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS.

Charles M. Murrell Jr.

OFFENSE:

ATTORNEY FOR STATE:

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION: AMOUNT OF BOND

S.F. NO.

BONDSMAN

SITTINGS:

OTHER INFORMATION:

CJ308 D PAGE 1

FILED

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

Court # 38 Katherine

179th

Maree Murrell was arrested on the long

Paul Court Admendment of the arrest

Attorney of Protection with Attorney

9/29/14 Court Murrell for bond at

12:30pm until 1:00pm. at 1:02 PM

all parties appeared excluding Judge

of Court # 40 Ross Murrell with

of Court # 63 Richard Hays and

whose suggested testimony on Wed 9/15/14

and Court # 70 Hays Murrell for bond

who is Supreme & Clerk in on Thursday

9/16/14 by Court Murrell then Court

Court Murrell. At 1:07 PM all parties

appeared & Court ordered Court # 42

Murrell & Hays Court Murrell for

the Court Court Murrell for

000125

GENERAL ORDERS OF THE COURT of At 1:10 PM, Station was this began of concluded

At 1:30 PM, at which time the began of concluded at 1:43 PM
 at which time began #43 Michael #43 began was ended with the, Mary Paul
 Court Admonished the, began of instructed him to return on 9/29/99. At 1:43 PM
 Court welcomed began #43 Michael middle, Richard Bradley of individual
 was the began, Court Admonished the, began of at 1:49 PM Station was this began
 concluded at 1:51 PM, at which time the began was this began and concluded
 at 2:10 PM, began #43 Michael, Richard Bradley was instructed in the
 going out Court Admonished the, began of the, began of instructed her to
 return on 9/29/99, at 2:13 PM Court welcomed began #44 William Tim of
 individual was this began Court Admonished her at the, Station
 was this began at 2:15 PM, of concluded at 2:35 PM, the began of was this
 began at 2:35 PM of concluded at 2:40 PM, began #44 Charles Tim was
 ended with the, began of Court Admonished the, began of instructed her to
 return on 9/29/1999. Court received at 2:43 PM a message at
 8:30 AM on 9/15/99

000126

NO.

400412

Charles Mennich

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS.

OFFENSE:

ATTORNEY FOR STATE:

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION: AMOUNT OF BOND

S.F. NO.

BONDSMAN

SETTINGS:

OTHER INFORMATION:

CJ308 D PAGE 1

FILED

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

SEP 15 1999 The Defendant

In Person With Counsel Wesley Hill + Kent Wint
Lynn McElroy Appeared For The State.
 Clerk records

Deputy Reporter: Sam Knutson
 Judge Presiding: Bob Guadagno

Both sides announced ready
 The jury panel was seated &
 admonished at 8:36A. Enbled at 9:35
 The jury was excused into the
 hallway Vera Saldaña, having jury
 #48, was seated & admonished
 at 9:40A. State began voir dire
 at 9:45A. Defense began at 10:02A.
 Ended at 10:20A. Jury #48 was
 accepted into the jury pool. Jury #48
 former Thomas Pigeon, was seated &
 admonished at 10:25A. State began

000127

GENERAL ORDERS OF THE COURT SEP 15 1999

at 10:28A Both sides agreed to extend

from jury service juror #50, Roy Crawford Turner, was seated at 10:32A. State began voir dire. Defense began at 10:50A. Ended at 11:07A.

Juror #50 was accepted into the pool. Juror #52, Thomas Eugene Smith, was seated & admonished at 11:15A. State began at 11:20A. Defense

challenged for cause & was granted by the court. Juror #53, ^{Donald Ray Nelson} ~~Thomas Eugene Smith~~, was

seated & admonished at 11:39A. State began at 11:43A. Defense began

at 11:58A. Ended at 12:05P. Juror #53 was accepted into the jury pool.

Juror #56, Douglas Albert Polga, was seated and admonished at 12:01P.

State began at 12:04P. Both sides agreed to excuse juror #56. Furthermore,

both sides agreed juror #62. Court recessed at 12:25P until Thursday

September 16, 1999, at 8:30A.

SEP 16 1999

The Defendant, MICHAEL appeared

by Person With Counsel

for the State, State appeared

by Person With Counsel

Court Reporter: John W. SmithJudge Presiding: State

at 8:45AM all parties excluding juror

of both sides agreed to excuse juror #69 & 74. Furthermore

juror service at 8:50AM. Court recessed juror #65, 72, 73, 78 & 81

000128

NO.

800112

Charles Newman Jr.

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS.

OFFENSE:

ATTORNEY FOR STATE:

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION: AMOUNT OF BOND

S. F. NO.

BONDSMAN

SETTINGS:

OTHER INFORMATION:

CJ308 D PAGE 1

FILED

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

Quint Adams

1790

The purpose of this, the Court conducted

at 9:15 AM on 9/5/14 Quint Adams

born # 65. Adams was born in Oklahoma

Adams began with the at 9:55 AM after

Adams arrived at the scene. Adams # 65 born

Adams was born on 9/10/14 Adams

Adams was born in Oklahoma Adams

72 Adams was born in Oklahoma Adams

Adams was born in Oklahoma Adams

at 10:31 Adams was born in Oklahoma

at 10:35 AM, Adams # 72 Adams was born

Adams was born in Oklahoma Adams

Adams was born in Oklahoma Adams

Adams was born in Oklahoma Adams

Adams was born in Oklahoma Adams

000129

21105

Charles Manning Jr.

OFFENSE:

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION. AMOUNT OF BOND.

S.F. NO.

BONDSMAN

SETTINGS:

OTHER INFORMATION:

FILED

179.45

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

at 8:35th all over the country

2. West Memorial Avenue #83-84888, and

Arrived at 9:30 am, at 9:35 pm. Must hurry.

page H 83 Charles Williams / Individual

Wardens began by State Prisoners at

9:50 Am. Oct 950 Am. Dugones feeding began

31 November 2015 Am. Middle F-83

found in the first Ordovician

10:06 AM David Johnson and I
arrived at the beach and set

84 Eliza Denver - Sonnet 31

hair did begin with the onset of my

Estado Libre de Condado de Delaware

Neuberg Oct 182 / Am 5 Concluded at

10.38 am. Hurst #84 Elmer's Corner

Don't wear sandals into the gym pad.

Used as described the good method

See the caption on p. 22 for p. 10. quantity

NO.

800112

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS.

Charles Marmagh.

OFFENSE:

ATTORNEY FOR STATE:

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION. AMOUNT OF BOND.

S.F. NO.

BONDSMAN

SETTINGS:

OTHER INFORMATION:

C3308 D PAGE 1

FILED

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

1 p. 133

000133

The following numbers were examined from the file for review:

191, 92, 96, 97, 98, 101, 102, 106, 109, 115, 116, 120, 123, 126, 130, 131, 133, 135, 137, 141, 143, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, (note #146 removed on 9/16/99)

Quasi removed for the day of the 830 AM 9/20/99.

* Since the 100 Latitude Tanker is a larger vessel was supposed to have on 9/17/99 did not come in - after we have notified by David Alvarado & come in on 9/20/99 by 8:30 AM in the Latitude in the basement of the Terminal (Southwest of 301 San Juan).

GENERAL ORDERS OF THE COURT

SEP 20 1999

The Defendant

Apparatus

In Person With Counsel Wayne Hill & Kurt Murty

Lynn Mitchell

Appeared For The State,

Court Reporter

Judge Presiding: Ben Knoblock

Both State & Defense agreed to excuse jurors #103 and #111.

Both sides announced ready at 8:40A. The Panel was seated.

The Court gave admonishments. Ended at 9:43A. The jury was returned into the hallway. Juror #93 Glen Earl Perkins, was seated at 9:46A.

The State began voir dire. Defense began at 9:53A. Ended at 10:10A.

Juror #93 was seated into the panel. Juror #94, Matthew Luke Taylor, was seated at 10:11A. State began at 10:18A. Both sides agreed to excuse juror #94. Juror #95, Linda Kay Cook, was seated & admonished at 10:18A. State began at 10:21A. Defense began at 10:32A. Ended at 10:57A. Juror #95 was accepted into the jury panel. Juror #99

Jedeth James Barnett was seated & admonished at 11:05A. The State began voir dire. Defense began at 11:22A. Ended at 11:32A.

Both sides agreed #99 was accepted into the jury panel. Juror #100, LeTanya Pamela Glasgow-Harris, was seated at 11:33A. State began voir dire.

Defense began at 11:52A. Ended at 12:00. Juror #100 was accepted.

1108 D PAGE 2

000134

NO.

800112

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS.

Charles Marmey

OFFENSE:

ATTORNEY FOR STATE:

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION, AMOUNT OF BOND:

S.R. NO.

BONDSMAN

SETTINGS:

OTHER INFORMATION:

CJ308 D PAGE 1

FILED

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

SEP 2 1999

into the jury pool. Court
rescheduled until 8:30A, Tuesday, September 14, 1999.

SEP 21 1999

THE DEFENDANT:

MARMAY, CHARLES

A Person With Counsel

Walter Hill & Associates

Counsel Selected For The State.

by [Signature] Under Power of Attorney

Court Reporter:

Pat Burdette

Judge Reporting:

[Signature]

At 8:45 AM, all parties by agreement

dismissed from 104 & 107 PM

for the 9th session. At 9:50 AM

all parties appeared at Court Administration

Room # 105-108-110-112-113-114-117-118

as is the local and at 9:50 AM [Signature]

concluded at 9:50 AM. Court continued

from 10:50 Houston Hamilton & Associates

then this began Court Administration at 9:50

at 9:50 AM. After have this began &

000135

21022

Charles Newman Jr.

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

BONDSMAN

OTHER INFORMATION:

179

Letter #113 Toaciloe Koram

Just amended the head of 12.5.

Notes Van der Lugden & Verbeke Dec 21, 20 PM

at which time the Vice President of the University

at 1:35 PM. Unit #13 Trace footprints

Entered on the day book. Must
 2000 / 177 2 29 11

Materialized in form of material in

[illegible]

with one 29.5 inch $\frac{1}{2}$ inch

Must deliver it to the General by 11:00 AM

10/10/1968 - 10/10/1968

At 2011th Ultimate 2011th Recruit & Graduate

21201m Quar # 1119999d M. Mathews

used in the pump bed. Quack

Admitted the good Federal Institution

On 9/25/99. O & 2:21 PM (Must be signed)

Guest 117 William Henry Davis

GENERAL ORDERS OF THE COURT

Admonished the juror & later withdrawn at 2:00 PM. At 2:40 PM at which time the juror was released at 2:50 PM. Juror # 117 William Hensley was withdrawn at the juror's request. Admonished the juror & advised him to return on 9/24/99. At 3:52 PM Court withdrew juror # 118 Wesley Dwyer. Middle. Court admonished the juror. At 2:59 PM the juror was withdrawn at 3:09 PM. at which time the juror was released. At 3:17 PM Court admonished the juror & advised him to return on 9/24/99. Court moved for the day until 9/27/99 at 8:30 AM.

SEP 27 1999

The Defendant: William Hensley

In Person With Counsel

Appointed for the State

Counsel: William HensleyJudge Presiding: John L. BurnettWilliam HensleyExcluding juror

At 8:30 AM all parties appeared & Court ordered juror # 119 & 121 to be sworn in for service. At 8:35 AM all parties appeared & Court advised the juror # 119 & 121 to be sworn in. At 9:30 AM

At 9:31 AM juror # 124 left & home should was welcomed by Court.

Admonished the juror & later withdrawn at 9:30 AM. At 9:30 AM

108 D PAGE 2

000138

NO.

800412

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS.

Charles Newman

OFFENSE:

ATTORNEY FOR STATE:

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION: AMOUNT OF BOND

S.F. NO.

BONDSMAN

SETTINGS:

OTHER INFORMATION:

C3308 D PAGE 1

FILED

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

Wardieberger & Munday

at 9:55 AM. at 9:55 AM. Defendant Wardieberger & Munday
 began & concluded at 10:05 AM. June 11, 1941.
 Peter F. Lane stated was not present.
 The jury pool, Court Administrator
 the jury & instructed by the court
 on 6/11/41, at 10:05 AM. Court Administrator
 June 11, 1941. Robert Thomas Butler, Court
 Administrator, the jury. At 10:05 AM.
 the jury was begun & concluded at 10:20 AM.
 at 10:20 AM. The jury was begun & concluded at 10:20 AM.
 concluded at 10:35 AM. June 11, 1941.
 Roland Thomas Butler, Court Administrator
 with the jury pool. Court Administrator
 the jury & instructed him to return
 on 6/11/41, at 10:39 AM. Court Administrator
 June 11, 1941. The jury was begun & concluded at 10:40 AM.

(2)

800173

Charles Marmath

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS.

OFFENSE:

ATTORNEY FOR STATE:

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION: AMOUNT OF BOND

S.F. NO.

BONDSMAN

SETTINGS:

OTHER INFORMATION:

CJ3308 D PAGE 1

FILED

179 页

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

the present indicated by

Return on 9/25/99. 1st 10:35 AM

Grunt Wilgond base #128 larger

5- Importer: West China Quake

the power of individual principles

with the state beer plant

at 1045 AM 9. 11. 1961. 18000 ft.

leaves 20 minutes at 11:00 AM. 4:00 PM

#128 Report & Recommendation

Entered with the Overland Mail

not missed the good fortune

On 9/30/92 Off 101 Court withdrawn

Samuel J. Smith
1817

Don't mess with
me

11/26/00: 2811103 and B building

the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 14th, 15th, 16th, 17th, 18th, 19th, 20th, 21st, 22nd, 23rd, 24th, 25th, 26th, 27th, 28th, 29th, 30th, 31st, 32nd, 33rd, 34th, 35th, 36th, 37th, 38th, 39th, 40th, 41st, 42nd, 43rd, 44th, 45th, 46th, 47th, 48th, 49th, 50th, 51st, 52nd, 53rd, 54th, 55th, 56th, 57th, 58th, 59th, 60th, 61st, 62nd, 63rd, 64th, 65th, 66th, 67th, 68th, 69th, 70th, 71st, 72nd, 73rd, 74th, 75th, 76th, 77th, 78th, 79th, 80th, 81st, 82nd, 83rd, 84th, 85th, 86th, 87th, 88th, 89th, 90th, 91st, 92nd, 93rd, 94th, 95th, 96th, 97th, 98th, 99th, 100th, 101st, 102nd, 103rd, 104th, 105th, 106th, 107th, 108th, 109th, 110th, 111th, 112th, 113th, 114th, 115th, 116th, 117th, 118th, 119th, 120th, 121st, 122nd, 123rd, 124th, 125th, 126th, 127th, 128th, 129th, 130th, 131st, 132nd, 133rd, 134th, 135th, 136th, 137th, 138th, 139th, 140th, 141st, 142nd, 143rd, 144th, 145th, 146th, 147th, 148th, 149th, 150th, 151st, 152nd, 153rd, 154th, 155th, 156th, 157th, 158th, 159th, 160th, 161st, 162nd, 163rd, 164th, 165th, 166th, 167th, 168th, 169th, 170th, 171st, 172nd, 173rd, 174th, 175th, 176th, 177th, 178th, 179th, 180th, 181st, 182nd, 183rd, 184th, 185th, 186th, 187th, 188th, 189th, 190th, 191st, 192nd, 193rd, 194th, 195th, 196th, 197th, 198th, 199th, 200th, 201st, 202nd, 203rd, 204th, 205th, 206th, 207th, 208th, 209th, 210th, 211st, 212nd, 213th, 214th, 215th, 216th, 217th, 218th, 219th, 220th, 221st, 222nd, 223rd, 224th, 225th, 226th, 227th, 228th, 229th, 230th, 231st, 232nd, 233rd, 234th, 235th, 236th, 237th, 238th, 239th, 240th, 241st, 242nd, 243rd, 244th, 245th, 246th, 247th, 248th, 249th, 250th, 251st, 252nd, 253rd, 254th, 255th, 256th, 257th, 258th, 259th, 260th, 261st, 262nd, 263rd, 264th, 265th, 266th, 267th, 268th, 269th, 270th, 271st, 272nd, 273rd, 274th, 275th, 276th, 277th, 278th, 279th, 280th, 281st, 282nd, 283rd, 284th, 285th, 286th, 287th, 288th, 289th, 290th, 291st, 292nd, 293rd, 294th, 295th, 296th, 297th, 298th, 299th, 300th, 301st, 302nd, 303rd, 304th, 305th, 306th, 307th, 308th, 309th, 310th, 311st, 312nd, 313th, 314th, 315th, 316th, 317th, 318th, 319th, 320th, 321st, 322nd, 323rd, 324th, 325th, 326th, 327th, 328th, 329th, 330th, 331st, 332nd, 333rd, 334th, 335th, 336th, 337th, 338th, 339th, 340th, 341st, 342nd, 343rd, 344th, 345th, 346th, 347th, 348th, 349th, 350th, 351st, 352nd, 353rd, 354th, 355th, 356th, 357th, 358th, 359th, 360th, 361st, 362nd, 363rd, 364th, 365th, 366th, 367th, 368th, 369th, 370th, 371st, 372nd, 373rd, 374th, 375th, 376th, 377th, 378th, 379th, 380th, 381st, 382nd, 383rd, 384th, 385th, 386th, 387th, 388th, 389th, 390th, 391st, 392nd, 393rd, 394th, 395th, 396th, 397th, 398th, 399th, 400th, 401st, 402nd, 403rd, 404th, 405th, 406th, 407th, 408th, 409th, 410th, 411st, 412nd, 413th, 414th, 415th, 416th, 417th, 418th, 419th, 420th, 421st, 422nd, 423rd, 424th, 425th, 426th, 427th, 428th, 429th, 430th, 431st, 432nd, 433rd, 434th, 435th, 436th, 437th, 438th, 439th, 440th, 441st, 442nd, 443rd, 444th, 445th, 446th, 447th, 448th, 449th, 450th, 451st, 452nd, 453rd, 454th, 455th, 456th, 457th, 458th, 459th, 460th, 461st, 462nd, 463rd, 464th, 465th, 466th, 467th, 468th, 469th, 470th, 471st, 472nd, 473rd, 474th, 475th, 476th, 477th, 478th, 479th, 480th, 481st, 482nd, 483rd, 484th, 485th, 486th, 487th, 488th, 489th, 490th, 491st, 492nd, 493rd, 494th, 495th, 496th, 497th, 498th, 499th, 500th, 501st, 502nd, 503rd, 504th, 505th, 506th, 507th, 508th, 509th, 510th, 511st, 512nd, 513th, 514th, 515th, 516th, 517th, 518th, 519th, 520th, 521st, 522nd, 523rd, 524th, 525th, 526th, 527th, 528th, 529th, 530th, 531st, 532nd, 533rd, 534th, 535th, 536th, 537th, 538th, 539th, 540th, 541st, 542nd, 543rd, 544th, 545th, 546th, 547th, 548th, 549th, 550th, 551st, 552nd, 553rd, 554th, 555th, 556th, 557th, 558th, 559th, 560th, 561st, 562nd, 563rd, 564th, 565th, 566th, 567th, 568th, 569th, 570th, 571st, 572nd, 573rd, 574th, 575th, 576th, 577th, 578th, 579th, 580th, 581st, 582nd, 583rd, 584th, 585th, 586th, 587th, 588th, 589th, 590th, 591st, 592nd, 593rd, 594th, 595th, 596th, 597th, 598th, 599th, 600th, 601st, 602nd, 603rd, 604th, 605th, 606th, 607th, 608th, 609th, 610th, 611st, 612nd, 613th, 614th, 615th, 616th, 617th, 618th, 619th, 620th, 621st, 622nd, 623rd, 624th, 625th, 626th, 627th, 628th, 629th, 630th, 631st, 632nd, 633rd, 634th, 635th, 636th, 637th, 638th, 639th, 640th, 641st, 642nd, 643rd, 644th, 645th, 646th, 647th, 648th, 649th, 650th, 651st, 652nd, 653rd, 654th, 655th, 656th, 657th, 658th, 659th, 660th, 661st, 662nd, 663rd, 664th, 665th, 666th, 667th, 668th, 669th, 670th, 671st, 672nd, 673rd, 674th, 675th, 676th, 677th, 678th, 679th, 680th, 681st, 682nd, 683rd, 684th, 685th, 686th, 687th, 688th, 689th, 690th, 691st, 692nd, 693rd, 694th, 695th, 696th, 697th, 698th, 699th, 700th,

at 11:30 PM set out and came 10 paces from the

Construction No. 11-23 Km. 24.12.71

000141

GENERAL ORDERS OF THE COURT

Grace Smith was ordered to pay Paul Cavel
 instructed the jury to return on 9/29/99. at 11:45 AM. After
 Deane appeared to release jurors # 14 & 15. Defendant
 jury service. at 11:53 AM Court welcomed juror # 13. Michael Lee,
 Smith & Defendant's wife began. Both sides accept. Sumner
 juror # 13. Defendant's jury service. at 11:55 AM Court welcomed
 juror # 13. Michael Lee. Defendant's wife began with the
 juror. Court announced the jury at 11:56 AM. Defendant's wife
 & concluded at 12:08 PM. at 12:08 PM Deane's wife began & concluded at 12:15 PM
 at the time juror # 13. Michael Lee. Defendant's wife began. Court
 announced the jury & instructed him to return on 9/29/99. at 12:20 PM
 Court welcomed juror # 15. Grand Juror. Deane's wife began & concluded
 at 12:25 PM. at 12:25 PM Deane's wife began & concluded at 12:32 PM. at 12:32 PM
 juror # 15. Grand Juror. Deane's wife began & concluded at 12:32 PM. at 12:32 PM
 the jury & instructed him to return on 9/29/99 by 9:30 AM.
 at 12:35 PM for juror & the second # 53. Deane's wife began request & was
 been ordered to be excused from the jury for medical purposes.
 Court recessed until 8:30 AM on Wednesday 9/29/99

000142

NO.

88412

Charles M. M. M.

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS.

OFFENSE:

ATTORNEY FOR STATE:

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION: AMOUNT OF BOND

S.F. NO.

BONDSMAN

SETTINGS:

OTHER INFORMATION:

FILED

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

SEP 29 1993

179.16

000143

The Defendant:

M. M. M. M. M.

In Person With Counsel

By the State of Texas

Court Reporter:

M. M. M. M. M.

M. M. M. M. M.

M. M. M. M. M.

M. M. M. M. M.

M. M. M. M. M.

M. M. M. M. M.

M. M. M. M. M.

M. M. M. M. M.

M. M. M. M. M.

M. M. M. M. M.

M. M. M. M. M.

M. M. M. M. M.

M. M. M. M. M.

M. M. M. M. M.

GENERAL ORDERS OF THE COURT on 10/4/1999

Shannon Peterson (Sharon Williams)
Inglese, Nathan, David Sorensen,

OCT - 4 1999

Sens on paragraph 1 (112)

Representant, ~~Memo~~ Hill of Kentlands

Person with young children

Person with young children

Person with young children

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

Court reporter

DEFENDANT DULY ARRANGED ACCORDING TO LAW
IN OPEN COURT PLEADEDDEFENDANT, WAIVED ARRANGEMENT AND FORMER
RECEIVING OF THE INDICTMENT, IN OPEN COURT
PLEADED

PLEADED

at 11:30 AM at the Courtroom at 1:00 PM

at 3:40 PM Court resumed with stationer

at 5:15 PM Court resumed for the day Court adjourned the

Court adjourned the Court adjourned the

Court adjourned the Court adjourned the

Court adjourned the Court adjourned the

Court adjourned the Court adjourned the

Court adjourned the Court adjourned the

Court adjourned the Court adjourned the

000144

Scott

Charles Sumner

OFFENSE:

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION: AMOUNT OF BOND.

S.F. NO.

BONDSMAN

SETTINGS:

OTHER INFORMATION:

FILED

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

1000-5-1961

Oct - 5 1949 - 711 White Pine Road, Henderson
 from McCall for James
 from Paul & Ed

M. J. J. J.

At 11:30 am all parties present
left the residence. Arrived at 1:30 pm.
Court resumed for lunch. At 2:55 pm
all parties present Court resumed
with State's evidence at 4:15 pm.
Court took a short break. At 4:35 pm.
Court resumed with State's evidence at
5:30 pm. Court adjourned for the
day. Court resumed there until 9:30 am.

000145

GENERAL ORDERS OF THE COURT

OCT - 6 1999

Presented by

D. J. [unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

000146

NO.

*09/07/12**Charles Manning*

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS.

OFFENSE:

ATTORNEY FOR STATE:

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION: AMOUNT OF BOND

S.F. NO.

BONDSMAN

SITTINGS:

OTHER INFORMATION:

C3108 D PAGE 1

FILED

1992

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

Appeared for lunch. At 2:50 pm Court resumed with State's evidence 3:45 pm short break At 4:30 pm Court resumed with evidence. At 5:35 pm Court adjourned the cause. There will be a continuance until 10:00 am on 10-8-99

OCT - 8 1999

NOT RECORDED

BY JASON W. H. SOUTHERN

CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

COUNTY OF HARRIS

STATE OF TEXAS

AT 10:55 am all parties present

Court resumed with State's evidence

11:40 am short break. At 11:55 am Court

resumed with State's evidence

GENERAL ORDERS OF THE COURT

At 1:10 Court received for lunch. At 2:30 pm Court resumed with State's evidence. At 3:30 State rest Court took short break. At 3:40 Defense presented evidence. At 5:10 pm Court took short break. At 5:25 pm Court resumed with Defense evidence. At 6:05 pm defense rest. State called rebuttal witnesses. State closed. At 6:40 pm Court took short break. At 6:45 pm. Jurors returned to open Court. Court admonished the jurors then released them until 10-12-29 at 10:00 am.

OCT 12 1999

Deputy Clerk,

Danner, [Signature] Kuchel

Cynthia McDermott, Deputy Clerk

Tom [Signature]

M. McDermott

At 9:40 am all parties present, Court presented the charge to the jury. At 10:00 State began argument. At 10:12 am Defense (Kuchel) began argument. At 10:28 am defense began. At 10:30 am State closed argument. At 11:17 State concluded argument. At 1:05 pm. At that time the jurors retired to begin deliberation.

000148

NO. 800112

Charles Mamon

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS.

OFFENSE:

ATTORNEY FOR STATE:

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION: AMOUNT OF BOND

S.F. NO.

BONDSMAN

SETTINGS:

OTHER INFORMATION:

C3308 D PAGE 1

FILED 179c

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

Went received documents
Oct 21 15 pm papers returned
from lunch & returned with
deliberation Oct 3:05 pm all
papers present jury returned
to open court further as
followed. Had the jury find
that Mr. Charles Mamon is guilty
of Capital Murder as charged
in the indictment. The Court
admonished the jury then
released them. Dated 9:30 am
May 10-13-1999.

000149

000 1 3 OCT 1 1968

SECRETED BY ~~NAME~~ ^{DATE} ~~DATE~~
A PERSON WITH CORRESPONDENCE - ~~NAME~~ ^{DATE} ~~DATE~~

John Melville

Proceeding: M. Walker

at 11:00 am all parties present, State presented evidence in punishment phase 11:45 am. short break. At 12:00 day made objection in the record, and the judge resumes 11:55 Court resumed Short Break 12:35 PM. For purpose of record reason for break postponed. Court resumed at 12:55 PM, At 1:40 pm Court resumed off break. At 3:00 pm all parties present Court resumed with evidence in punishment phase: 3:35 short break, at 3:40 pm Court resumed with evidence at 4:35 pm. At the out, Defense began evidence in punishment phase at 5:15 pm. Court adjourned the judge then released them until 10:00 am on 10-14-99

OFFENSE:

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION: AMOUNT OF BOND _____

S.F. NO.

BONDSMAN_

SETTINGS:

OTHER INFORMATION:

C3308 D PAGE 1

FILED 175

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

1553
1554
1555

Mendel. *Went ident*

WILLIAMSON

at 10:55 am all parking places
that remained with dog exercising
at 1:50 pm Short Hunt At 2:00 pm
Hunt moved for lunch. At 4:00 pm
Hunt resumed with dog exercise
at 4:30 pm dog exercise rest
Hunt commenced the survey
then released them until
10:00 am on 10-15-1983

000151

NO.

860112

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS.

Charles Monrovia Jr.

OFFENSE:

ATTORNEY FOR STATE:

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:

SCIRE FACIAS INFORMATION: AMOUNT OF BOND

S.F. NO.

BONDSMAN

SETTINGS:

OTHER INFORMATION:

FILED

17 Mar

000153

GENERAL ORDER OF COURT

To address the Defendant's

Court sentenced the Defendant to

Death.

Death Sentence Alternative Appeal.

Defendant filed Petition

for Review under Article 110.71(c)(3),

CCP & findings of Facts

Fulltime with an appeal issued
a Review - Defendant's
determined.

10-18-14

Court accepted the Defendant's appeal
on appeal. The Defendant's appeal
was filed on 10-18-14

C3308 D PAGE 1

GENERAL ORDERS OF THE COURT

10/24/13 Motion & Affidavit in Support of Request for
 Removal & Joint Affidavit in Support of Trial within the 30 day
 Period Permitted by the Rules of a Motion for Trial Speedy
 by Court, Court Appointed Clerk & Court
 11-12-93 Motion to Withdraw City of Record from & Transfer
 Withdraw City from Record

LETTER OF ASSIGNMENT TO THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS



CHARLES BACARISSE
HARRIS COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK

Direct Dial Line:
755-5738

December 15, 1999

FLOYD FREED
ATTORNEY OF RECORD
2301 FM 1960 W
HOUSTON TEXAS 77068

Defendant's Name: CHARLES MAMOU JR.

Cause No: 800112

Court: 179TH DISTRICT COURT

Please note the following appeal updates on the above mentioned cause:

Notice of Appeal Filed Date: 10-15-99
Sentence Imposed Date: 10-15-99
Court of Appeals Assignment: Court of Criminal Appeals
Appeal Attorney of Record: FLOYD FREED
Motion for New Trial Filed: 10-18-99
State's Notice of Appeal (Judgment & Sentence) filed:
State's Notice of Appeal (Motion) filed date: Ruling made:
Defendant's Notice of Appeal on Motion filed date: Ruling Made:
Notice of Appeal on Writ of Habeas Corpus filed: Ruling Made:

Sincerely,

Myrna Lopez
Myrna Lopez
Criminal Post Trial Deputy

CC: Mr. Calvin Hartman
Asst. District Attorney
Appellate Division
Harris County, Texas

PAM KNOBLOCH

This is your notice to inform any and all substitute reporters in this cause.

CERTIFICATE OF THE CLERK

THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF HARRIS


IN THE 179TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

I, **CHARLES BACARISSE**, District Clerk of Harris County, Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing proceedings, instruments and other papers contained in Volume I Pages 1- 156 inclusive, to which this certification is attached and made a part thereof, are true and correct copies of all proceedings, instruments and other papers specified by Rule 51 (a) and matter designated by the parties pursuant to Rule 51 (b) in Cause No. 800112, styled **CHARLES MAMOU, JR. vs. The State of Texas** in said court.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL of said Court, at office in Harris County, Texas on
February 24, 2000.

CHARLES BACARISSE,
Harris County District Clerk

By Jennifer Carrion
Jennifer Carrion, Deputy



000156